

**CONSOLIDATED HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT PLAN
FOR ALASKA 2006-2010:
SFY 2008 Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report**

Attached is a copy of the SFY 2008 Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER). The SFY 2008 CAPER was made available for public comment on September 15th-September 29, 2008. The CAPER has three parts:

- Part 1 outlines the resources made available in the State during the past fiscal year as compared with the annual funding plan summary contained in the FY 2008 Annual Action Plan, and describes the number and characteristics of Alaskans benefiting from the investment of those resources. This part also contains program-specific information on how the State has utilized its annual entitlements of CDBG, HOME and Emergency Shelter Grant funds.
- Part 2 recaps other actions taken by the State of Alaska to further the goals and principles of the HCD Plan, again compared to the specific actions outlined in the SFY 2008 Annual Action Plan.
- Part 3 assesses the progress the State has made in meeting its overall five-year HCD Plan priorities, and discusses any changes anticipated as a result of the findings of the one-year progress assessment.

Consolidated Housing and Community Development Plan for the State of Alaska

**Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) for State of Alaska
Fiscal Year 2008 (July 1, 2007 through June 30, 2008)**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Overview	Pages 5-7
Part 1: Resources and Beneficiaries	
Eight Principles	Pages 8-9
Annual Funding Plan for Housing	Page 10
Households and Persons Assisted with Housing	Pages 11-12
Community Development Block Grant Program	Pages 13-19
HOME Investment Partnership Program	Pages 20-29
<i>Assisting the Homeless – Continuum of Care</i>	<i>Pages 30-37</i>
Emergency Shelter Grant Program	Pages 30-33
Priorities Under Alaska’s Continuum of Care	Pages 34-35
AHFC Grant Match	Page 35
AHFC Homeless Assistance Program	Page 35
Emergency Food and Shelter Program	Page 36
Improving Information on Alaska's Homeless	Pages 36-37
<i>Assisting Alaskans with Special Needs</i>	<i>Pages 37-40</i>
Senior Citizen Housing	Page 37
Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS	Page 38
Special Needs Housing	Pages 38-40

Teacher Housing	Pages 40-41
Efforts to Promote Accessible Housing	Pages 41-42
Alaska's Fair Housing Plan	Pages 42-44

Part 2: Other Housing and Community Development Actions Pages 45-50

Moving to Work	Page 45
AHFC Public Housing Division	Page 46
Project Based Section 8	Page 46
Public Housing Agency Plan and Homeownership	Page 46
Public Housing Division Grant Programs	Pages 46-47
Elderly/Disabled Service Coordination	Pages 47-48
Family Self-Sufficiency	Page 48
Public Housing Construction Activities	Pages 48-49
Developing Economic Opportunity for Low Income Families	Pages 49- 50
Evaluating and Reducing Lead Based Paint Hazards	Page 50

Addressing Housing and Community Development Barriers Page 50-54

Improving Organizational Capacity	Pages 50-51
Infrastructure for Housing and Community Development	Page 51
Role of Local Governments	Pages 51-52
Targeting and Leveraging Resources	Page 52
Protecting and Improving Housing	Page 53

Input from Other Planning Efforts

Pages 53-54

**Part 3: Assessment of Progress in Meeting Five-Year HCD
Plan Priorities**

Pages 55-58

APPENDIX A: CDBG ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

APPENDIX B-1: FY 2008 HOME MATCH REPORT

APPENDIX B-2: HOME AFFIRMATIVE MARKETING ASSESSMENT

APPENDIX B-3: HOME MBE/WBE REPORT

APPENDIX B-4: ACTIVE HOME PROJECTS

APPENDIX B-5: HOME Opportunity Program (HOP) Outcomes

APPENDIX B-6: Owner-Occupied Rehabilitation Program (ORP) Outcomes

APPENDIX B-7: SFY 2008 HOME Monitoring

APPENDIX B-8: Outcome Performance Measurement Tables

OVERVIEW

In September 2004, the State of Alaska began the development of a new five year Consolidated Housing and Community Development (HCD) Plan for the State of Alaska, covering state fiscal years 2006 through 2010 (July 1, 2005 through June 30, 2010). This planning process was completed in April 2006, with the Alaska Housing Finance Corporation's (AHFC's) Board of Directors approval of the FY 2006-2010 HCD Plan. This Plan identified Alaska's overall housing and community development needs and outlined a strategy to address those needs. A series of one-year action plans implements the five-year strategy of general principles and priorities. The State Fiscal Year 2008 (July 1, 2007 through June 30, 2008) Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report is the third implementation plan of the five-year (FY 2006 through 2010) HCD Plan. In SFY2008 (FFY2007) the HUD mandated Outcome Performance Measurement System was implemented. In addition to measuring what was actually achieved against what was projected, the performance measurement system includes the determination of an objective and selection of an outcome for each activity, based on the type of activity and its purpose. The HCD has been amended to include information on the Outcome Performance Measurement System. The three objective categories are:

Suitable Living Environment—In general, this objective relates to activities that are designed to benefit communities, families, or individuals by addressing issues in their living environment.

Decent Housing—The activities that typically would be found under this objective are designed to cover the wide range of housing possible under the HOME, CDBG or ESG programs. This objective focuses on housing programs where the purpose of the program is to meet individual family or community needs and not programs where housing is an element of a larger effort, since such programs would be more appropriately reported under Suitable Living Environment.

Creating Economic Opportunities—This objective applies to the types of activities related to economic development, commercial revitalization, or job creation.

The three outcome categories are:

Availability/Accessibility. This outcome category applies to activities that make services, infrastructure, public services, public facilities, housing, or shelter available or accessible to low and moderate income people, including people with disabilities. In this category, accessibility does not refer only to physical barriers, but also to making the affordable basics of daily living available and accessible to low and moderate income people where they live.

Affordability. This outcome category applies to activities that provide affordability in a variety of ways in the lives of low-and moderate-income people. It can include the creation or maintenance of affordable housing, basic infrastructure hook-ups, or services such as transportation or day care.

Sustainability: Promoting Livable or Viable Communities. This outcome applies to projects where the activity or activities are aimed at improving communities or neighborhoods, helping to make them livable or viable by providing benefits to persons of low- and moderate-income or by removing or eliminating slums or blighted areas, through multiple activities or services that sustain communities or neighborhoods.

OUTCOME STATEMENT MATRIX			
	Outcome 1: Availability or Accessibility	Outcome 2: Affordability	Outcome 3: Sustainability
Objective 1: Suitable Living Environment	Enhance Suitable Living Environment through Improved Accessibility	Enhance Suitable Living Environment through Improved or New Affordability	Enhance Suitable Living Environment through Improved or New Sustainability
Objective 2: Decent Housing	Create Decent Housing with Improved or New Availability	Create Decent Housing with Improved or New Affordability	Create Decent Housing With Improved or New Sustainability
Objective 3: Economic Opportunities	Provide Economic Opportunity through Improved or New Accessibility	Provide Economic Opportunity through Improved or New Affordability	Provide Economic Opportunity through Improved or New Sustainability

The geographic scope of the State of Alaska's HCD Plan is for all areas of Alaska outside of the Municipality of Anchorage (MOA) for the HOME Program, and all areas outside of the MOA and the City of Fairbanks for the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) program. As entitlement jurisdictions, the MOA and City of Fairbanks receive their own direct allocations of federal housing and community development funds, and must prepare and maintain their own Consolidated Plans. The State of Alaska and the MOA cooperate and share information concerning their respective planning processes.

An Interagency Steering Committee directs the State of Alaska's Consolidated Plan. By designation of the Governor, AHFC is the lead agency in this process, with responsibility for project coordination, staffing and product distribution. The Interagency Steering Committee also includes the Alaska Department of Commerce, Community and Economic Development (DCCED), the Alaska Department of Health and Social Services (DHSS), the Workforce Investment Board (formerly known as the Alaska Human Resource Investment Council---AHRIC), the Alaska Mental Health Trust Authority (AMHTA) and the Alaska State Commission for Human Rights (ASCHR). Members of this Steering Committee provide input from their respective program and policy areas, and work to encourage public input into the HCD planning process.

Within 90 days of the close of the state fiscal year, the State is required to report to the public and to the federal government about the program made under the one-year Annual Action Plan. The Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) identifies the actual

housing and community development resources available in the state during the program year, and assesses the use of these resources in comparison to activities outlined in the Annual Action Plan. It also recaps the number and characteristics of low income Alaskans benefiting from these resources. The CAPER contains program-specific reports covering the CDBG, Home Investment Partnerships and Emergency Shelter Grant (ESG) Programs as well as tables that illustrate accomplishments which are found in Appendix B-8.

Many different entities provide input into the development of the CAPER. Participating in this effort are the State of Alaska, regional housing authorities, non-profit organizations, private housing developers, lenders, local governments, and federal agencies. With the close of state fiscal year 2008 on June 30, 2008, AHFC initiated a process to gather information from these many organizations detailing the number and characteristics of persons served, and actual funding levels realized during the year. The information received from this survey has been input into a database, which generated compilations of actual resources received and persons assisted with housing.

The public was provided an opportunity to comment on the draft FY 2008 CAPER, beginning on September 15, 2008 and ending on September 29, 2008. Notice of availability of the draft was advertised in the Anchorage Daily News, the Juneau Empire, Fairbanks News-Miner, Sitka Sentinel, Ketchikan Daily News, Kodiak Daily Mirror, Nome Nugget, Valdez Star, Peninsula Clarion and the Bethel Delta Discovery. The draft CAPER was available for download from AHFC's web-site, <http://www.ahfc.state.ak.us>. No comments were received.

This CAPER has three parts:

- **Part 1** recounts the resources made available in the State during the past fiscal year as compared with the annual funding plan summary contained in the SFY 2008 Annual Action Plan and describes the number and characteristics of Alaskans benefiting from the investment of those resources. This part also contains program-specific information on how the State has utilized its annual entitlements of CDBG, HOME and Emergency Shelter Grant funds.
- **Part 2** identifies other actions taken by the State of Alaska to further the goals and principles of the HCD Plan, again compared to the specific actions outlined in the SFY 2008 Annual Action Plan.
- **Part 3** of this report assesses the progress the State has made in meeting its overall five-year HCD Plan priorities, and discusses any changes anticipated as a result of the findings of the one-year progress assessment.

Part 1: Resources and Beneficiaries

Consistent with the U.S. Housing Act of 1937, the overall goal of the *Housing and Community Development (HCD) Plan for the State of Alaska* is to:

Provide decent housing, create suitable living environments, and expand economic opportunities for low-income Alaskans with incomes at or below 80% of median.

The five-year HCD Plan (July 1, 2005 through June 30, 2010) identified eight general principles to guide the State's efforts to implement the above statutory goal. These principles are:

- 1. Use of federal housing and community development programs should be used in the most effective manner possible to emphasize benefit to low-income Alaskans.** Rationale---the amount of federal funds is limited and must be used effectively; the greatest needs are among the lowest income households.
- 2. Federal community development funds should support local efforts to address obstacles to economic growth by constructing, upgrading and reducing operating costs of essential community services and facilities.** Rationale---basic infrastructure is lacking in many of Alaska's poorest communities, and is a major barrier to economic self-sufficiency. Long-term affordability and sustainability of these essential community services is critical to the health and survival of these communities.
- 3. Weatherization and rehabilitation activities should be increasingly emphasized to protect and improve existing housing supply.** Rationale---because it is so expensive to develop new housing, every effort must be made to prolong the useful life and to lower operating costs of Alaska's existing housing.
- 4. Allocation of homeless resources covered by this Consolidated Plan should be consistent with community based strategies addressing homelessness.** Rationale--the limited amount of federal homeless resources make the mobilization of mainstream and local generated resources a necessity to address homelessness. Community based strategies offer the best approach to generate and effectively apply such resources. Federal homeless resources under this Plan should support such local strategies.
- 5. State matching funds should be provided to leverage other resources for housing, services related to housing, and community development.** Rationale---matching funds give Alaskan applicants a competitive advantage in grant-seeking, and multiply scarce federal resources.

- 6. The supply of affordable housing should be expanded for Alaskans with special needs, incorporating accessibility features and appropriate supportive services.** Rationale---the existing housing supply is inadequate to meet the current and projected need for this population, which has historically been under-served.
- 7. Housing and community development projects should incorporate appropriate arctic design and engineering, energy efficiency construction techniques and innovative technologies.** Rationale---the use of appropriate technologies ensures that improvements perform to expectations and are fully functional over the life of the project.
- 8. Through relevant and appropriate training and technical assistance, the statewide housing delivery system should be improved.** Rationale---lack of capacity and "gaps" in the housing delivery system has negatively impacted efforts to address the state's housing needs. Expanded and improved capacity will open new opportunities to attract capital for affordable and sustainable housing.

The primary focus of State of Alaska Consolidated Housing and Community Development Plan is upon the federal formula programs (CDBG, HOME, ESG) funded through the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. A description of other housing and community development programs is also contained in the HCD Plan. Significant HCD resources are provided by U.S. Department of Agriculture programs. The State of Alaska also makes substantial contributions towards housing and community development. Much of this funding comes from the corporate earnings of the Alaska Housing Finance Corporation (AHFC), and funds appropriated by the Alaska Legislature. AHFC is also an important source of mortgage financing for housing, including a variety of below market rate lending products designed to expand affordable housing opportunities. AHFC has also funded improvements and deferred maintenance for public facilities throughout Alaska by issuing bonds.

Other housing and community development projects are funded from the state general fund, foundations, and private sector sources. It is important to note that not all of the resources that are available within the state are administered through the State government. Many competitive programs result in grants or loans directly to private applicants, including non-profit organizations.

The Annual Funding Plan for Housing details projected resources for areas outside the Municipality of Anchorage (Balance of State) by program area for housing related activities. This table provides a breakdown by program area, in three categories; mortgages, grants and rental assistance.

HCD Plan Annual Performance Report

Annual Funding Plan For Housing

State of Alaska

Fiscal Year 2007 (July 1, 2006 - June 30, 2007)

Program Name	Program Type	Anticipated Funding			Actual Funding			Total Units	Sec. 215 Units
		Federal	State	Total	Federal	State	Total		
Energy Interest Rate Reduction	Interest rate reduction for energy efficiency	0	3,083,011	3,083,011	0	3,931,115	3,931,115	534	101
IRRLIB Program	Interest rate reduction for low-income borrowers	0	2,181,102	2,181,102	0	1,014,204	1,014,204	103	98
Multifamily Loan Program	Multifamily, special needs, congregate & senior progs.	0	49,069,647	49,069,647	0	10,915,105	10,915,105	155	137
Rural Housing Program	Mortgages for rural areas	0	114,625,727	114,625,727	0	84,323,287	84,323,287	412	80
Streamline Refinance Program	FHA Refinancing	0	3,540,133	3,540,133	0	572,967	572,967	2	0
Taxable First-Time Buyer Program	Conventional single-family mortgages for first-time buyer	0	32,944,194	32,944,194	0	35,421,324	35,421,324	167	29
Taxable Program	Conventional single-family mortgages	0	39,706,503	39,706,503	0	23,625,816	23,625,816	111	23
Tax-Exempt First-Time Homebuyers Prg.	First-time homebuyer mortgages	0	37,500,318	37,500,318	0	91,338,725	91,338,725	511	159
Veterans Mortgage Program	Tax-exempt veterans loan program	0	5,382,610	5,382,610	0	60,655,648	60,655,648	237	45
Other AHFC Loan Programs	Mobile Homes, Non-conforming, Seconds	0	416,715	416,715	0	1,463,235	1,463,235	8	3
Total Mortgages:		0	288,449,960	288,449,960	0	313,261,426	313,261,426	2,240	675
Beneficiary and Special Needs Housing	Housing for people with disabilities	0	1,750,000	1,750,000	0	1,042,031	1,042,031	N/A	N/A
Energy Efficiency Monitoring/State Energy Prg.	Energy Rating, Marketing, Tech. Asst., Special Projects	162,000	238,500	400,500	360,000	530,000	890,000	N/A	N/A
Facility Management Monitoring Project	Monitoring of AHFC Public Housing Units	0	112,500	112,500	0	112,500	112,500	N/A	N/A
Federal and Other Competitive Grants	Matching Funds	1,800,000	750,000	2,550,000	2,300,000	1,058,930	3,358,930	N/A	N/A
HOME	Rehab, new const, rental and homebuyer assistance	3,001,389	750,000	3,751,389	3,375,000	750,000	4,125,000	144	40
HOME American Downpayment Assistance Init.	Downpayment Assistance for First-time buyers	28,919	0	28,919	28,919	0	28,919	1	N/A
HOME Program Income	Program income received from HOME activity	375,000	0	375,000	305,231	0	305,231	0	0
Homeless Assistance Program	One-time aid for emergency needs	0	1,500,000	1,500,000	0	607,448	607,448	N/A	N/A
Housing Op. for Persons w/AIDS (HOPWA)	Housing & supportive services	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Low-Income Housing Tax Credits	Acquisition, rehabilitation, new construction	1,946,000	0	1,946,000	1,738,600	0	1,738,600	173	60
Low-Income Housing Weatherization	Weatherization & retrofit of housing	1,440,000	4,740,000	6,180,000	1,440,000	4,740,000	6,180,000	417	390
Maintenance Workshop	Funding for Workshop for Public Housing Maintenance	0	300,000	300,000	0	300,000	300,000	N/A	N/A
Public Housing Capital Fund Program	Rehab., management improvements of public housing	249,640	0	249,640	249,640	0	249,640	N/A	N/A
Public Housing Competitive Grants	Matching funds	600,000	300,000	900,000	412,500	137,500	550,000	N/A	N/A
Senior Access Program ¹	Accessibility modifications for people with disabilities	0	250,000	250,000	0	427,920	427,920	10	N/A
Senior Citizens Housing Development Fund ²	Housing for elderly	5,000,000	3,000,000	8,000,000	4,854,145	3,000,000	7,854,145	96	28
Statewide Fire Protection System Investigation	Statewide Fire Improvements, Public Housing	0	150,000	150,000	0	150,000	150,000	N/A	N/A
Statewide Public Housing Improvements	Rehab., management improvements of public housing	0	3,000,000	3,000,000	0	1,320,000	1,320,000	N/A	N/A
Supplemental Housing Development Prg.	Augments Indian housing development	0	5,500,000	5,500,000	0	4,787,283	4,787,283	107	N/A
Teacher and Health Professional Housing ²	Homeownership and Rental Housing for Teachers	7,000,000	5,000,000	12,000,000	6,541,857	5,000,000	11,541,857	62	N/A
Total Grants:		\$21,602,948	\$25,591,000	\$47,193,948	\$21,605,892	\$23,963,612	\$45,569,504	1,010	518
Public Housing Operating Subsidy	Operating costs	8,105,046	0	8,105,046	8,239,021	0	8,239,021	719	629
Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers	Rental assistance	14,710,739	0	14,710,739	11,190,108	0	11,190,108	1,783	1,761
Total Rental Assistance:		\$22,815,785	\$0	\$22,815,785	\$19,429,129	\$0	\$19,429,129	2,502	2,390

1. Funds from Senior Citizens Housing Development Fund (SCHDF) are used to fund the Senior Access Program

2. Federal Funding provided through the Denali Commission

The HCD Plan does not establish goals for the distribution of housing resources among the State's various regions and communities, nor does it favor one type of housing over another. It has been the policy of the State of Alaska, in the use of its housing resources, to emphasize local determination and responsiveness to demonstrated market demand. The table below illustrates the distribution of households assisted across racial and ethnic categories, and a comparison to the general population. The table titled "Households and Persons Assisted with Housing" on page 11 includes renters, homeowners, homeless, and non-homeless special needs households, broken down by income levels and household size.

**State of Alaska --- Non-Metropolitan Areas
Demographic Characteristics of Households Assisted vs. Population Composition**

Racial Group	<u>Households Assisted SFY 2007</u>		<u>2005 American Community Survey</u>	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
White	2,308	52.9%	258,094	68.7%
Black	123	2.8%	5,556	1.5%
Native	783	17.9%	75,110	20.0%
Asian/Pacific Islander	114	2.6%	11,409	3.0%
Other	1,038	23.8%	25,374	6.8%
Total	4,366	100.0%	375,543	100.0%
Hispanic/Any Race	81	1.9%	12,259	3.3%

The data for the Demographic Characteristics of Households Assisted table, as well as the Households and Persons Assisted with Housing table on page 11 was compiled from the results of a survey mailed out to housing providers serving areas of Alaska outside of Anchorage, and from AHFC data sources for Public Housing, Housing Choice Vouchers, Low Income Weatherization, AHFC Mortgages, and HOME funded programs.

Alaska Housing Finance Corporation
Consolidated Housing and Community Development Plan
Households & Persons Assisted with Housing*
State of Alaska - Non Metropolitan Areas
July 1, 2007 - June 30, 2008

Name of State: STATE OF ALASKA - Nonmetropolitan Areas												Fiscal Year: 2008		
ASSISTANCE PROVIDED (by Income Group)	RENTERS					OWNERS				HOMELESS		Non-Homeless Special Needs***	Total Goals	Total Section 215 Goals
	Elderly 1&2 Hhld. (A)	Small Related Hhld. (2-4) (B)	Large Related Hhld. (5+) (C)	All Other Hhlds. (D)	Total Renters (E)	Existing Home-Owners (F)	First Time Buyers' With Children (G)	All Others (H)	Total Home-Owners (I)	Individuals (J)	Families (K)			
1 Ext. Low-Income (0 to 30% of MFI)	87	484	95	528	1,186	212	3	7	222	0	0	540	1,388	1,388
2 Very Low-Income (31 to 50% of MFI)	468	336	83	766	1,645	138	9	20	167	0	0	637	1,812	1,812
3 Other Low-Income (51 to 80% of MFI)	46	224	78	529	875	102	29	158	289	0	0	84	1,164	
4 Total Low-Income (Lines 1+2+3)	601	1,024	256	1,823	3,704	452	41	185	678	0	0	1,261	4,364	3,200

5 Racial/Ethnic Composition** Total Low-Income		
Hispanic		
1 Hispanic or Latino		76
2 Not Hispanic or Latino		4,288
All Races		
3 White		2,177
4 Black		118
5 Alaska Native or American Indian		782
6 Asian		104
7 Pacific Islander		25
8 Other/Unidentified		1,156
Total		4,364

Programs Included Are Highlighted:
Housing Choice Voucher
AHFC Public Housing (Inc. Section 8 New, Alpine Terrace)
AHFC Tax Exempt Program
AHFC Multifamily, Special Needs Housing
AHFC Senior Citizens Housing Development
Low-Income Housing Weatherization Program
Other AHFC Mortgage Assistance (RRLIB/EEIRL)
HOME Owner-Occupied Rehabilitation/Home Ownership
HOME Rental Development

*Data for period 07/01/07 - 06/30/08. Source: AHFC, Planning and Program Development.

**Primary Racial/Ethnic composition of households

NOTE: This table reflects all areas outside Anchorage

State of Alaska
Community Development Block Grant Program
FY 2008 Performance/Evaluation Report
Narrative Requirements for FFY 2000 - 2006 Grants

A. Statutory Requirements of Section 104(e):

The overall mission of the State of Alaska Community Development Block Grant Program is to enhance the quality of life for low and moderate income residents, particularly in rural Alaska. The CDBG Program fulfills this mission by acting upon its defined goals and objectives.

The goals of the program are to ensure that the State's CDBG funds will be used to principally benefit low and moderate income persons; to provide financial resources to communities for public facilities, planning and special economic development activities which encourage community self-sufficiency; to reduce or eliminate conditions detrimental to the health and safety of local residents; and to provide capital to assist in the creation or retention of jobs that primarily benefit low and moderate income persons.

The following objectives guide distribution and use of funds:

- To support local efforts toward solving public facility problems by constructing, upgrading, or reducing operational/maintenance costs of essential community facilities.
- To support activities which eliminate clear and imminent threats to public health and safety.
- To support activities which demonstrate the potential for long-term positive impact.
- To support activities which encourage local community efforts to combine and coordinate CDBG funds with other available private and public resources whenever possible.
- To support activities which demonstrate strong local support as evidenced by inclusion in a community, economic development, or capital improvement plan.
- To support activities which have completed design, engineering, architectural, or feasibility plans as appropriate, or have included those activities in their application.
- To support economic development activities which will result in business development and job creation or retention which principally benefits low and moderate income persons.

As is indicated in the attached Part I of the Performance Evaluation Report, all of the CDBG grants funded have supported at least one of the above objectives. All have met the objective of serving low and moderate income residents.

The State of Alaska does not anticipate significantly modifying the objectives of the CDBG program for the years included in this report. Through our Consolidated Planning process, our constituents have confirmed that our efforts to maintain and improve the quality of life for low and moderate income residents by focusing on infrastructure development, is a priority for use of CDBG funds.

We modified the Annual Action Plan for FY 2003, FY 2004, FY 2005, FY 2006 and FY 2007. This impacted the way the Department of Commerce administers CDBG. In particular those amendments allowed the Department to set-aside funds for specific activities which are consistent with the goals and objectives identified in the Housing and Community Development Plan and which we hoped would improve our expenditure rate for CDBG funds. More importantly, we anticipated that these changes would result in better service to the communities served. The following is a summary of these amendments:

1. With the FY 2007 Annual Action Plan this option to set aside funds to provide the cost share match for Denali Commission Health Care Projects was no longer available.
- At the discretion of DCCED funds may be set-aside and designated to provide the cost share match for CDBG eligible communities/applicants for construction-ready Denali Commission Health Care Projects. Construction-ready for a community/applicant under the Denali Commission Health Care Cost Share match is defined as having successfully completed the Denali Commission Rural Primary Care Facility Project Business Plan; having successfully completed the Denali Commission Site Plan Checklist which includes verification of the legal right to utilize the property for the proposed project; having successfully completed all planning, permitting, engineering, and architectural plans for the proposed project; and having applied for and received a commitment from the Denali Commission for construction funding
 - Communities/applicants awarded funds under the Denali Commission Health Care Cost Share Match Program must meet all requirements for participation in the CDBG program including but not limited to Eligible Applicants; Eligible Activities; Public Hearing Requirements; National Objectives; Resolution with Certifications of Compliance; HUD Reform Act; Civil Rights; Environmental Review; and Federal Labor Standards and agree to execute a CDBG Grant Agreement with DCCED within the timeframes outlined by the Department at the time of award
 - CDBG funds awarded under the Denali Commission Health Care Cost Share Match Program will not exceed \$500,000 per community/applicant
 - CDBG funds may not be used for equipment or furnishings unless an integral part of the building
 - CDBG funds may not be used as the cost share match for any part of a multi-use facility which is used for an activity which is ineligible for CDBG funding, i.e., facilities used for the

general conduct of government; for the costs associated with on-going governmental operations; or any other ineligible CDBG activity

- Communities/applicants awarded funds under the Denali Commission Health Care Cost Share Match Program will be expected to expend all CDBG funds within 18 to 24 months from the date of execution of the CDBG Grant Agreement unless otherwise approved by the Department
 - Eligible communities/applicants will be awarded funds on a first come-first served basis by the Department in the order referred by the Denali Commission. Both the Department and the Commission's assessment of the community/applicant's ability to complete the project in a timely manner will be considered if insufficient funds are available for all project referrals
 - If the amount of funds set-aside for the Denali Commission Health Care Cost Share Match Program in any given year exceeds the demand as of July 1st of the following year, any uncommitted funds may, at the discretion of the Department, be utilized through the CDBG Competitive Grant Program or other activities outlined in the FY 2003, FY 2004, FY 2005 and FY 2006 Annual Action Plans, as amended. With the FY 2007 Annual Action Plan this option to set aside funds to provide the cost share match for Denali Commission Health Care Projects is no longer available.
2. At the discretion of DCCED, a portion of the above referenced CDBG Competitive Grant Program funds may be set-aside and designated for use by AHFC's Owner-Occupied Rehabilitation (ORP) contractors for housing rehabilitation/accessibility activities. The focus will be on funding emergency rehabilitation activities which compliment HOME Program activities and fill a gap that addresses critical health, safety and accessibility rehabilitation improvements not currently being met through HOME or other programs.
- The guidelines for assistance will follow the Alaska Housing Finance Corporation's HOME Owner-Occupied Rehabilitation Policies and Procedures Manual where applicable
 - Work provided utilizing CDBG funds must meet Housing Quality Standards (HQS) but the entire home will not be required to meet overall HQS
 - DCCED would subcontract through the eligible CDBG municipal government, with AHFC's ORP contractors (who were selected through a competitive process by AHFC to administer the owner occupied rehabilitation component of the State's HOME Investment Partnership Program). The ORP contractors will be responsible for securing a Cooperative Agreement with the CDBG eligible municipal government entity in which the rehabilitation/retrofit activity is to take place
 - The ORP contractors would be responsible for insuring verification that this program solely benefits low to moderate income households. Income guidelines and verification will follow the HOME Owner-Occupied Rehabilitation Program (ORP) Policy and Procedures Manual from AHFC
 - The guidelines for project approval, project set-up submission, including environmental review, will follow AHFC's HOME ORP Policy and Procedures Manual

- The ORP contractors and the CDBG eligible municipal government entity would be responsible for compliance with all other applicable CDBG program requirements
 - Allowable activities under this program include (but are not limited to) roof replacement, foundation repair or replacement, correction of structural deficiencies, accessibility modifications, sanitation repairs (well, septic, bathrooms, etc.), lead reduction, mold mitigation, heating system repairs and replacement, energy efficiency improvements, etc. CDBG funds may not be used for equipment or furnishings unless an integral part of the building. The maximum amount of CDBG funds per owner-occupied home will be limited by CDBG regulations, and by the agreement referred to below between the municipal government entity and DCCED
 - Funds awarded under this housing rehabilitation program component will be expected to be expended within 18 to 24 months of obligation unless otherwise approved by the Department
 - The 75% expenditure requirement under the Past Recipient provision of the Annual Action Plans shall not apply to this ORP CDBG Program
 - Administrative cost limits will be negotiated on a case by case basis but will not exceed 10% of the grant amount
3. At the discretion of DCCED, a portion of the above referenced CDBG competitive grant funds may be set-aside for CDBG eligible communities/applicants for construction-ready homeless shelters and transitional housing, subject to the \$500,000 maximum funding per project/community
- Through AHFC's competitive Homeless Assistance Program (HAP), notification will be given that certain projects may be eligible (subject to the criteria outlined below) for CDBG funding. After the close of competition for AHFC's Homeless Assistance Program, applicants will be reviewed for possible inclusion and receipt of CDBG funds
 - Applicants notified of eligibility for the HAP CDBG program will be responsible for securing the Cooperative Agreement with the eligible municipal government entity in which the rehabilitation or construction project is to take place
 - If a Cooperative Agreement is not reached in a timely manner, as determined by DCCED, the Department reserves the right to use these identified HAP CDBG funds in the CDBG Competitive Grant Program, or other activities outlined in the Annual Action Plan
 - All HAP CDBG projects must be construction-ready, which is defined as providing a completed business plan for facility operation; providing a detailed construction budget, with a sources and uses statement; verification of commitments of all other required funding sources for the project; evidence of site control; and completion of all planning, design, engineering and architectural plans for the project
 - Communities/applicants awarded funds under HAP CDBG program must meet all of the requirements for participation in the CDBG program including but not limited to Eligible Applicants; Eligible Activities; Public Hearing Requirements; National Objectives; Resolutions with Certifications of Compliance; HUD Reform Act; Civil Rights; Environmental Review; and Federal labor Standards and agree to execute a CDBG Grant

Agreement with DCCED within the timeframe outlined by the Department at the time of the award

- CDBG funds may not be used for equipment or furnishings unless an integral part of the building
 - Communities/applicants awarded funds under the HAP CDBG program will be expected to expend all CDBG funds within 18 to 24 months from the execution of the CDBG Grant Agreement unless otherwise approved by the Department
4. The Department reserves the right to issue, under the CDBG Competitive Grant Program, an application exclusively for Planning Activities if it is determined to be in the best interest of the program to do so. The purpose of exercising this option would be to assist communities in preparing for potential future construction projects as well as meeting other community planning needs.
 5. The Department reserves the right to accept applications for CDBG funding outside the annual CDBG Competitive Grant cycle if extenuating circumstances, as determined by the Department, warrant such action. Any application accepted outside the annual CDBG Competitive Grant cycle would be evaluated using the same Rating Factors as identified under the annual CDBG Competitive Grant Cycle.
 6. The Selection Process and Rating Criteria in the Annual Action Plans was amended to read: “The application-selection process for the *CDBG Competitive Grant Program* consists of two stages---threshold review and project rating and selection.” It is further amended to read: “It should be also noted that applicants which applied for and received CDBG funding for project design, engineering, feasibility, an/or planning within two years prior to the application for implementation, will receive priority consideration for funding *and may be awarded, at the discretion of the Department, up to 10 Bonus points under the Project Plan/Readiness category at Project Rating*”.
 7. The Reallocated, Recaptured, and Unobligated Funds section in the FY 2003, FY 2004, FY 2005, FY 2006 and FY 2007 Annual Action Plans is amended as outlined below:
 - Recaptured funds are unspent funds which DCCED recovers from grantees when *it is clear an approved activity is no longer viable* or that the recapture will not preclude local ability to complete the approved activities or when the activities have been completed and funds remain in the grant agreement. Recaptured funds will be reallocated to existing grantees who demonstrate a need for additional funds (not exceeding a grant cap of \$850,000); be reallocated to applicants between award cycles when it is demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Department that an immediate and pressing need exists and it is in the best interests of the program and applicant to award funds immediately. All such awards between grant cycles will be made using the rating criteria established for the most recent CDBG Grant Application Handbook. Recaptured funds may also be reallocated to other activities outlined in the Annual Action Plan outside of the competitive grant process (ORP and HAP).

- Unobligated funds are funds which have not been or are no longer intended to be distributed according to the method of distribution described herein. Unobligated funds will either be reallocated to existing grantees who demonstrate a need for additional funds (not exceeding a grant cap of \$850,000), be allocated to applicants between grant award cycles when it is demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Department that an immediate and pressing need exists and that it is in the best interests of the program and the applicant to award funds immediately. All such awards between grant cycles will be made using the rating criteria identified in the most recently issued CDBG Grant Application Handbook. Unobligated funds may also be allocated to other activities outlined in the Annual Action Plan outside of the competitive grant process (ORP and HAP).
8. The FY 2004 and FY 2005 Annual Action Plans were amended to state that the competition for the federal fiscal year 2003 and 2004 funds would be held at times determined appropriate by the Department.
 9. The maximum grant amount for which an applicant may apply was increased from \$350,000 to \$500,000 and in FY 2006 to \$850,000. These changes were made to address the rising costs of construction and to bring the program in line with other funding sources.

Through the Denali Commission Health Care Projects we eventually awarded 5 grants. Those 5 grants included the City of Teller; City of Clarks Point; City of Manokotak; Lake & Peninsula Borough for Kokhanok; and Lake & Peninsula Borough for Chignik Lake. In January 2006 we received the information needed in order to get these projects under grant agreement. As of June 30, 2008 four of the projects are completed and one is underway.

One project was initially awarded funding for housing rehabilitation activities through AHFC's Owner Occupied Rehabilitation contractors. The Matanuska-Susitna Borough agreed to accept and administer a grant on behalf of Alaska Community Development Corporation in the amount of \$500,000. That grant took effect in the Fall 2004 and was fully expended. A second award of \$500,000 was made in January 2006 and was also successfully completed. A third award of \$500,000 was made in January 2008 and is currently underway. It took more time than anticipated to work out the details of project management and disbursement of funds, but these housing rehabilitation activities have been very successful.

In January of 2007 we worked with the Fairbanks North Star Borough and Interior Weatherization Inc. to finalize an Owner Occupied Rehabilitation grant within the Fairbanks North Star Borough. We agreed to make \$500,000 available. Because of the complexity of the CDBG program it took a great deal of time to attempt to work out all of the details and get the program implemented. The Borough was unable to sign the program assurances and the project fell through.

Four projects have been awarded funds to provide match for AHFC's Homeless Assistance Program. The City & Borough of Juneau received \$500,000 on behalf of St. Vincent de Paul Society's renovation of their transitional housing facility and a project was awarded to make

renovations at four shelters in Juneau. The Matanuska-Susitna Borough received funds on behalf of Kids are People Too for renovation of their facility. A project was awarded to the City of Soldotna for \$500,000 for construction of a new facility for Love Inc. Family Hope Center. This project was unable to get underway and the funds were eventually recaptured.

We obligated an additional \$77,800 to two on-going projects (City of Bethel and City of Eek) which identified a need between grant cycles. We also awarded additional funds to the City and Borough of Juneau for the Juneau Homeless Coalition and additional funds to the City of Kwethluk for construction of their Public Safety Building.

We issued a second FFY 2003 CDBG Grant Application packet to all eligible communities in the state on March 15, 2003. Sixteen applications were received by the June 4th deadline; 14 passed initial threshold review and 4 awards were made in September 2004 for a total of \$6,651,284.00. In addition we issued our FFY 04 CDBG Application materials on September 1, 2004 and awarded 10 applications at \$2,354,675.00. We issued our FFY 05 CDBG Application packet on September 1, 2005 and awarded 4 grants totaling \$1,245,279. We also issued a second FFY 05 CDBG Grant Application packet in May 2006 and awarded 3 grants totaling \$1,271,000. Our FFY 2006 CDBG Application packet was issued on September 1, 2006 and awarded 5 grants for a total of \$1,242,898. We issued our FFY 07 CDBG Application Packet on August 30, 2007 and awarded 8 grants for a total of \$4,216,993.

B. Summary of Activities and Results from Technical Assistance Funding

The State has set aside and does intend to use 1% of its FFY 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006 and 2007 allocations to provide Technical Assistance to its grantees. Previously TA funds were used to hire a team of experts to put together a *Grant Construction Manual* for use by those grantees constructing public facilities.

Using technical assistance funds we also previously contracted with Alaska Housing Finance Corporation to hire a construction/energy/conservation consultant to provide assistance in a number of communities which were awarded CDBG construction grants.

In August 2004 we hired a temporary Training Specialist to work with the CDBG program. In February 2006 we made that position permanent. The Training Specialist works with applicants to improve project planning as well as grant applications.

She provided workshops on grant writing, planning, and implementation in Barrow, Nome, Anchorage, and Fairbanks to over 100 community representatives in September, October, and November 2006. In 2007 workshops were provided in the communities of Bethel, Nome, Fairbanks and Juneau. A Grant Administration workshop for all grantees was presented in Anchorage in May 2006, 2007 and 2008.

She is currently preparing for another round of grant/application workshops to be presented in the Fall of 2008.

She works individually with existing CDBG grantees that are experiencing difficulties getting projects moving. She helps them identify obstacles to implementing their projects and acts as a catalyst in resolving those obstacles. She develops training materials and manuals for use by grantees in understanding and meeting all program requirements.

HOME INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIPS PROGRAM (HOME)

July 1, 2007 - June 30, 2008

Program Accomplishments/Commitments

During the year, AHFC continued the successes of earlier years through the HOME Investment Partnership (HOME) Program. In the SFY 2008 Action Plan, HOME program funds were allocated for the following purposes: (1) develop affordable rental and homeownership housing (Greater Opportunities for Affordable Living Program), (2) rehabilitate single-family homes owned and occupied by lower-income families (Owner-Occupied Rehabilitation Program), (3) provide financial assistance to lower-income homebuyers (HOME Opportunity Program), (4) fund a portion of the operating costs incurred by Alaska's Community Housing Development Organizations (CHDOs), and (5) fund a portion of the rental and homeownership housing development pre-development activities.

1) Rental Development and Homeownership Housing--GOAL Program

Rental Development

Under the Greater Opportunities for Affordable Living (GOAL) program, AHFC awards funding for affordable rental housing development utilizing five funding sources: federal and state HOME funds, Low-Income Housing Tax Credits, AHFC grant funds under the Senior Citizen's Housing Development Funds (SCHDF), the Denali Commission Elder Housing (DCEH) program, and the AHFC Special Needs Housing Grant. By combining these four funding resources, the GOAL program has reduced the application and development burden for housing developers, increased the rate in which GOAL funds are leveraged with other resources, and decreased development timeframes. During this year's GOAL program funding cycle, AHFC conducted regional application technical assistance workshops. Additionally, during the period of notice of funding availability, the AHFC HOME program manager answers application

questions by phone and reviews pro-forma workbooks (submitted for review by the applicants) for financial feasibility.

Three new development projects were awarded funding located in Meadow Lakes, Willow, and Ninilchik. The development projects received funding totaling \$4,610,463.65. One project had its grant agreement executed during FY 2008. Information on the project is provided below:

Project	Location	HOME-Assisted Units	Additional Set-Asides	# of 504 Accessible Units
Chugach Colony Estates	Palmer	7	21	2

AHFC awarded Chugach Colony Estates a total of \$2,367,382 in grant funding. The grant funding sources for this project were: state and federal HOME funds, AHFC SCHDF funds, and DCEH. A total of seven (7) HOME-Assisted Units will be produced as affordable rental units. Additionally, twenty-one (21) separate units will be produced as affordable units for this project. All projects receiving HOME funds have mandatory State of Alaska set-asides to ensure that 40% of the units in the property will be rented to households at or below 50% of the area median gross income (AMGI). Additional set-aside units must be rented in accordance with the HOME regulations.

Federal regulations require a minimum average of 15% of all HOME funds, \$450,000 per year, be allocated to Community Housing Development Organizations (CHDOs) to develop, sponsor, or own HOME assisted housing. No new CHDO development grant agreements were executed during the reporting period. However, an additional \$240,000 of CHDO Reserve monies were committed to a previously executed CHDO grant agreement (GOL-06-VRS-1).

Eight projects received funding awards during FY 2008, but their grant agreements were not executed prior to the close of FY 2008. A summary of these projects is provided below:

Project	Location	HOME-Assisted Units	Additional Set-Asides	# of 504 Accessible Units
Hooper Bay	Hooper Bay	2	17	2
Weeks Field Estates	Fairbanks	5	68	8
Birch Creek Villas	Meadow Lakes	3	1	8
Tovarish Manor Phase II	Ninilchik	2	1	4
Willow Parkway	Willow	2	1	6
Yenlo Square	Wasilla	4	30	7

Transitional Housing Rehabilitation	Juneau	25	1	2
-------------------------------------	--------	----	---	---

Homeownership Housing Development

Alaska Community Development Corporation (ACDC) was awarded homeownership development funds late in SFY07. The project sponsor is a participant in the USDA's 523 self-help homeownership program. The project produced eight (8) single family homes. Housing is restricted to persons/ families whose annual income does not exceed eighty percent (80%) of the area median income; this program meets the HUD objective of providing decent housing with improved affordability. The project utilizes \$168,000 in HOME funds for land acquisition and site work. The project remained ongoing during SFY08.

An additional homeownership project was awarded, to Rural CAP, during SFY08. RuralCAP is also participating in the USDA Self-help program. This project will produce 10 single family homes for owners whose income does not exceed 80% of the area median income. The project utilized \$282,000 in HOME funds for land acquisition and site work.

Project	Location	HOME Units	# of 504 Accessible Units
Mat-Su Self Help Housing #5 (ACDC)	Houston	8	1
Chinulua Pt. Self-Help Owner-Built Homes (RuralCAP)	Kenai	10	0

2) Owner-Occupied Rehabilitation Program (ORP)

Three non-profit organizations continue to administer AHFC's HOME-funded Owner-Occupied Rehabilitation Program (ORP). Through this program, non-profit program administrators, or "subrecipients," provide funding to lower-income homeowners to improve the homeowner's property condition and energy efficiency, eliminate life-safety hazards, and make accessibility improvements. These projects often leverage other funding sources such as the AHFC Weatherization Program funds, USDA home loans, State of Alaska Home Modification Program, and AHFC Senior Accessibility Program.

Twenty-eight ORP projects were completed during the program year, and an additional 37 were in process as of June 30, 2008. Twenty-three ORP units are owned by seniors. Appendix B-6 gives detailed information on the SFY 2008 ORP completions, and the ORP projects underway at the end of SFY 2008. All these projects meet the HUD objective of providing decent housing with improved sustainability.

3) HOME Opportunity Program (HOP)

The HOME Opportunity Program (HOP) saw its eighth year of activity during the program year. The program meets the HUD objective of providing decent affordable housing with improved availability. Under HOP, qualifying families may receive downpayment funding assistance equaling 2 percent of the purchase price (up to \$3,800), up to \$3,000 for loan closing costs, and, if necessary to achieve affordability, a soft second deed of trust of up to \$30,000. In accordance with the Department of Housing and Urban Development's guidance, the allocation of HOP funds to individual homebuyers must also include the cost of inspection by the subrecipient.

Four HOP grants continued during the program year (FY 2008) to HOP subrecipients. These organizations included: City and Borough of Sitka, Housing First, Fairbanks Neighborhood Housing Services, and Alaska Community Development Corporation. The service and target areas for all four subrecipients include: Kenai Peninsula Borough, Matanuska- Susitna Borough, Fairbanks North Star Borough, Juneau City and Borough and the City of Sitka. HOP continues to reflect a demand for homeownership assistance in Alaska. HOP awards included monies allocated to AHFC from the American Dream Down payment Initiative (ADDI). A per family limit of the greater of \$10,000 or six percent of the purchase price was applied to the maximum assistance allowed for ADDI funds. Sixty-two HOP loans were closed in SFY 2008.

Program-wide, households served consisted of a mix of single-parent, two-parent and single, and non-elderly households with four elderly household served as well. The majority of households served were Caucasian (74%). Though the majority of households at 80% and below the median income in the communities served are Caucasian, Alaska Housing will work with grantees in the coming year, to further efforts to understand why certain minorities are not accessing this program more (especially Black, Hispanic and Asian families), and to try to increase the participation of the non-Caucasian population. In one effort, the Notice of Funding Availability released during the previous program year included rating points based on the likelihood of success of the applicants' affirmative marketing efforts. Appendix B-5 contains more detailed information on the geographic distribution, and the beneficiary income and demographic/ethnic information for the HOP loans closed during SFY 2008.

4) Community Housing Development Organization (CHDO) Operating Expense Assistance (OEA)

In previous program years, OEA assisted active CHDOs build capacity and meet operating expenses for a six-year period. In SFY08, many CHDOs in Alaska were no longer able to

participate in the annual funding round. With technical assistance from ICF, International, AHFC has redesigned the OEA program for SFY09. No contracts for OEA were executed during the current reporting period.

5) Rental and Homeownership Housing Predevelopment Program

AHFC developed a Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA) for the Rental and Homeownership Predevelopment Program that included \$50,000 of HOME money. Rental development funds were awarded to the City and Borough of Sitka, Tagiugmiullu Nunamiullu Housing Authority and Kenai Peninsula Housing Initiatives. An additional \$50,000 of HOME money will be allocated in the future for the predevelopment program.

Summary of Commitments

The table below identifies HOME commitments made during the past fiscal year.

Program Component/ Sponsor	Commit Date	Commit. Amount**	Project Location	# of Units Completed	Project Status 6/30/08
Rental Development: Chugach Colony Estates	9/13/07	\$ 669,382	Palmer	31	Underway
Homeownership: Rural CAP	8/3/07	\$282,000	Kenai	10	Construction Underway
Owner Occupied Rehabilitation: Alaska Community Dev. Corp., Inc Interior Weatherization, Inc. Rural AK. Comm. Action Program	5/5/08	\$736,978 -0- -0-	Mat-Su &Kenai Boro Interior Juneau	15 8 5	Underway
HOME Opportunity Program: Fairbanks Neighborhd Hsg Serv, Inc.* City and Borough of Sitka Alaska Comm Development Corp. Housing First	11/27/07 4/24/08 9/21/07	\$ 459,004 -0- \$1,260,536 \$ 388,611	FBKS NS Boro Southeast AK Mat-Su/Kenai Juneau City/ Boro	20 4 35 3	Underway Underway Underway
CHDO Operating Expense Assistance: NA					

* Represents Community Housing Development Organization (CHDO)

** Includes AHFC Cash "Matching" Funds. Refer to Appendix B-4----Active HOME Projects, for information on unexpended funds from all fiscal years.

HOME Match

Matching requirements for all program components (except CHDO Operating Expense Assistance and Administration Expenses, both of which do not require match) are being met by AHFC's cash contribution of \$750,000.00, and contributions through other sources. Tax exempt bond proceeds from AHFC single family homebuyer loans and from multi-family mortgages is a significant source of match for AHFC. Matching contributions from tribal programs, State of Alaska programs, and nonprofit donations also commonly provide leverage for the HOME program. The match liability for this year was \$3,328,669.59. Cash contributions or match credit from State and private entities is estimated to be \$148,233.00. Additional match credit includes bond financing for ORP and HOP loans. Match credit from bond financing is estimated to be \$2,007,456; AHFC is limited to crediting only \$208,041.85 of bond proceeds towards the match liability for this year. Match liability is incurred whenever program funds are drawn from the federal treasury. The HOME match report is included in Appendix B-1.

Lead-Based Paint

In September of 1999, HUD published new Lead-Based Paint regulations that lays out procedures required for all HOME-funded projects assisting housing built before 1978. These regulations have changed the way HOME program components are administered with regards to lead-based paint. Subrecipients have been trained on the new regulations and are successfully implementing them. To date, most of the homes that have been impacted by the new lead regulations have been in Fairbanks and Southeast Alaska. Alaska's housing stock tends to be relatively new; therefore, few homes built before 1978 have been addressed by HOME funds. The implementation of these lead-based paint regulations commonly causes an increase of at least \$10,000 per ORP project.

Displacement/Relocation

There were no families displaced or relocated in HOME-funded projects during the report period.

Program Monitoring

HUD HOME Program Monitoring

HUD HOME program monitoring during the SFY 2008 year consists of four types of compliance reviews. The first type of compliance review consists of a desk review of pre-disbursement/initial documents and reports prior to any HUD HOME and AHFC funds being paid to the project developers and subrecipients. The second type of compliance review consists of desk monitoring throughout the project development and grant period. The third type of compliance review involves site visits to projects being developed and to subrecipients' offices during the project development and grant period. The fourth type of compliance review consists

of post-project completion or “affordability compliance” review of rental housing development projects.

The first type of compliance review is accomplished by AHFC’s Planning and Program Department staff reviewing the project developers and subrecipients’ pre-disbursement/initial reports required of subrecipients and project developers of rental housing projects. AHFC staff verifies that all pre-disbursement/initial documents and reports are complete and accurate before any HUD HOME and AHFC funds are released to the project developers and subrecipients. The required pre-disbursement/initial documents and reports will depend on the type of project being funded. A complete list of all the different pre-disbursement/initial documents and reports follows:

- Evidence of business license and insurance requirements
- Evidence of Debarment and Suspension (24 CFR Part 92.357)
- Cost allocation plan
- Evidence of funding commitments
- Authorized signatories
- Project work plan
- Certification of Section 3 and Women’s and Minority Business Enterprises (MBE/WBE) compliance

The reports include a written Section 3 and Women’s and Minority Business Enterprises (MBE/WBE) work plans. The Section 3 work plan identifies how subrecipients’ will notify Section 3 residents and contractors of training and job opportunities, facilitate the training and employment of Section 3 residents, and the award of contracts to Section 3 businesses that includes the Section 3 Clause in all solicitations and contracts. The Women’s and Minority Business Enterprises work plan includes a description of subrecipients’ planned outreach designed to inform women and minority business enterprises of contract opportunities.

The second type of compliance review is desk monitoring conducted by AHFC’s Planning and Program Development Department staff throughout the project development and grant period. This type is accomplished by AHFC staff reviewing project developers and subrecipients monthly or quarterly invoices that sometimes includes supporting documents; and, quarterly and final financial and project status reports. Project status reports requirement vary depending on the type of projects funded. The following is a partial list of the different project status reports:

- Description of Section 3 and Women’s and Minority Business Enterprises (MBE/WBE) compliance
- Description of job training activities
- Description of Affirmative Fair Housing Marketing compliance activities
- Certification of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964
- Certification of Conflict of Interest Provisions at 24 CFR Part 92.356

- Certification of Drug Free Work Place Act of 1988
- Certification of Debarment and Suspension (24 CFR Part 92.357)
- Certification of Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973
- Certification of Lead-Based Paint Poisoning Prevention Act
- Project cost certification
- Copy of recorded federal, state and local building inspection reports (i.e. BEES, HQS, UPCS)
- Certification of Davis-Bacon Wage Act and Safety Standards Act if applicable
- Copy of proposed rental charges and low-income unit lease agreement
- Copy of executed deed restriction on the title to the land benefited by the project funding

The third type of compliance review involves on-site visits to projects being developed and to subrecipients' offices during the project development and grant period. AHFC staff from the Planning and Program Development Department and the Research and Rural Development Department share the responsibility of on-site monitoring reviews. AHFC staff reviews project developers' and subrecipients' records for compliance with financial administration and management regulations, program policies and regulations, and property requirements. The project developers and subrecipients receive a formal written monitoring review report and are required to respond and correct any findings and questioned costs. In SFY 2008, the Planning and Program Development Department staff completed the following number of monitoring compliance reviews:

Programmatic and financial monitoring compliance reviews:

- Four of the four HOME HOP subrecipients
- Three of the three HOME ORP subrecipients
- None of the HOME OEA subrecipients

Additionally in SFY 2008 all HOME ORP subrecipients had a selection of rehabilitation housing projects visited and inspected by the HOME Project Management staff from AHFC Department of Research and Rural Development for the HUD HOME rehabilitation property standards requirements.

The fourth type of review is conduct by AHFC's Internal Audit Department staff monitoring post-project completion or "affordability compliance" review of agencies with HOME funded rental housing development projects. Audit reviews are conducted throughout the year based on a schedule that meets with federal audit requirements for the different types of rental housing development projects. During SFY 2008, the Internal Audit Department staff conducted 28 audits of HOME funded rental housing development projects. The project developers receive a formal written audit review report and are required to respond and correct any findings and questioned costs.

In all of the four types of program monitoring, AHFC staff works with the project developers and subrecipients to ensure compliance with HUD HOME policies and regulations. The formal written monitoring review reports clearly identify non-compliance findings and questioned costs,

cite HUD HOME, OMB Circulars and AHFC regulations that support the findings; and, recommends corrective actions the subrecipients' should take to meet compliance requirements. In almost all non-compliance situations, the project developers and subrecipients show a willingness and ability to comply with program policies and regulations. Throughout the project development and grant period, AHFC staff provides technical assistance to project developers and subrecipients in order to preclude non-compliance findings and questioned costs during formal monitoring reviews.

Minority Business Enterprises / Women Business Enterprises

Section 281 of the National Housing Affordability Act requires HOME Participating Jurisdictions to establish and oversee procedures for program outreach to minority and women-owned businesses. AHFC requires that subrecipients develop an initial plan for outreach to minority and women-owned businesses and report quarterly on executed contracts and subcontracts. During SFY08, HOME subrecipients contracted a total of \$6,272,723 worth of services; contracts totaling \$78,008 were awarded to registered minority and women owned business.

Fair Housing and Related Issues

The HOME Program requires AHFC to comply with the Fair Housing Act and related issues of affirmative marketing and equal opportunity. These requirements pass through to program subrecipients and to housing developers and owners who have received HOME funds. It has been AHFC's practice to meet these requirements through a variety of actions including:

- Placement of an equal opportunity logo in all AHFC solicitations, including those of program administrators, for program activities as well as press releases;
- Display of fair housing and equal opportunity posters in prominent areas of AHFC and program administrator's offices;
- Inclusion of specific provisions within each grant, loan, or program administrator's contract addressing the responsibilities of the grantee, borrower or program administrator regarding fair housing and equal opportunity;
- Efforts to ensure that all HOME Programs participants with disabilities are aware that reasonable accommodations are available upon request;
- Outreach efforts, including meetings and workshops sponsored, conducted or participated in by AHFC, which are designed to educate segments of the population which might otherwise be less informed regarding the availability of program funds and the requirements under the Fair Housing Act. For example, during program funding cycles, AHFC conducts application workshops that address, in part, Fair Housing issues and

requirements. Successful applicants are required to attend a pre-award conference that addresses these issues at greater length (Fair Housing Conference this year).

- Translation services paid through HOME administration costs for persons applying for the program with Limited English Proficiency;
- AHFC's compliance and planning departments also regularly audit grantees and program administrators to ensure fair housing compliance and to further educate program participants regarding their fair housing responsibilities;
- Participation in advisory committees regarding special needs groups and their specific housing needs and assistance requirements;
- Focus program efforts toward areas and persons who might be considered least likely to apply for the assistance. For instance, the rating criteria utilized in the GOAL program targets projects in rural areas and those that will serve special needs groups. The ORP program criteria also restricts program participation to families whose income does not exceed 60% of the area median income, and additionally targets families with special needs, i.e., the elderly and families with small children;
- Both ORP Program administrators, and GOAL Program rental housing developers, are required to seek and encourage participation of minority and/or women-owned businesses for contracts of \$25,000 or more;
- Flexibility offered by the Native American Housing and Self-Determination Act (NAHASDA), allows AHFC to invite participation in the HOME program by Indian Housing Authorities and tribes, and work with those entities to ensure that all HOME funds result in housing units that are open to both native and non-native eligible households. Guidance from the US Department of Housing and Urban Development's Office of General Council, dated June 4, 2001, clarified combining funds and implications for fair housing in preferences related to housing occupancy. In some cases, use of NAHASDA funds in combination with HOME funds is not allowable, due to incompatible program requirements regarding hiring preferences; and
- Annually evaluate the success of Affirmative Marketing efforts, and propose changes for the coming year. This assessment has been completed and is included in Appendix B-2.

The actions identified here have resulted in greater awareness and compliance with fair housing and related requirements, wider geographic disbursement of HOME funds in Alaska, and effective delivery of housing to a greater number of minority and lower income populations. It is AHFC's intent to continue these actions in the future.

ALASKA'S CONTINUUM OF CARE FOR THE HOMELESS

Federal and state resources were used during SFY 2008 to fund programs of homeless prevention and intervention for Alaskans living outside of the state's largest city, Anchorage. Local non-profit agencies are the critical link in this delivery system.

The Alaska Coalition on Housing and Homelessness and Alaska Housing Finance Corporation worked closely together throughout SFY 2008 to prepare for the HUD Continuum of Care competition announced in SFY 2008. The allocation of homeless resources covered by this Consolidated Plan during SFY 2008 was consistent with community based strategies addressing homelessness (Guiding Principle # 4) and with the objective of creating a suitable living environment with improved availability and accessibility.

Emergency Shelter Grant Program

Grant #S-04-DC-02-00001

Annual Report PER FY 04

Alaska's non-metro allocation of Emergency Shelter Funds is administered by DCCED; metro funds are granted by HUD directly to the Municipality of Anchorage. The state program received \$126,748 in federal fiscal year 2004 funds, which were distributed on a competitive basis. Five agencies were awarded grants from this year's Emergency Shelter Grant program. The grants support three general categories of assistance: activities to prevent homelessness; direct emergency services such as food and transportation; and costs to operate shelter facilities, such as utilities and fuel oil.

The ESG funds were matched by the local providers with a total of \$40,000 in local funds, local non-cash resources, and other state and federal agency funds.

NOTE: FFY 04 ESG funds in the amount of \$25,349 were awarded to the Alaska Family Resource Center. They returned \$12,957.36. These recovered funds were reobligated to AWARE (as noted in the following table) during the FFY 05 ESG funding cycle.

Summary of Grants made from FFY 04 Emergency Shelter Grant Funds

Grant #S-04-DC-02-00001

1. <i>Catholic Social Services for Brother Francis Shelter in Kodiak</i>	
Homeless Prevention Activities	\$7,897.00
Shelter Operations	\$16,185.00
Administration	\$1,267.00
	<u>\$25,349.00</u>
2. <i>The LeeShore Center in Kenai</i>	
Shelter Operations	\$24,145.00
Administration	\$1,207.00
	<u>\$25,352.00</u>
3. <i>Unalaskans Against Sexual Assault and Family Violence in Unalaska</i>	
Homeless Prevention Activities	\$2,716.00
Direct Emergency Services	\$7,059.00
Shelter Operations	\$15,080.00
Administration	\$494.00
	<u>\$25,349.00</u>
4. <i>Alaska Family Resource Center in Palmer</i>	
Homeless Prevention Activities	\$8,948.00
Direct Emergency Services	\$1,483.00
Shelter Operations	\$1,341.00
Administration	\$620.00
	<u>\$12,392.00</u>
5. <i>IAC for Non-Violent Living in Fairbanks</i>	
Shelter Operations	\$25,349.00
	<u>\$25,349.00</u>
6. <i>Aiding Women in Abuse & Rape Emergencies, Inc.</i>	
<i>AWARE</i>	
Shelter Operations	\$12,957.00
	<u>\$12,957.00</u>

Emergency Shelter Grant Program

Grant #S-05-DC-02-00001

Annual Report PER FY 05

Alaska's non-metro allocation of Emergency Shelter Funds is administered by DCCED; metro funds are granted by HUD directly to the Municipality of Anchorage. The state program received \$119,198.00 in federal fiscal year 2005 funds, which were distributed on a competitive basis. Five agencies were awarded grants from the Emergency Shelter Grant program. The grants support three general categories of assistance: activities to prevent homelessness; direct emergency services such as food and transportation; and costs to operate shelter facilities, such as utilities and fuel oil.

The ESG funds were matched by the local providers with a total of \$60,000 in local funds, local non-cash resources, and other state and federal agency funds.

Summary of Grants made from FFY 05 Emergency Shelter Grant Funds

Grant #S-05-DC-02-00001

1. <i>Catholic Social Services for Brother Francis Shelter in Kodiak</i>	
Homeless Prevention Activities	\$8,110.00
Shelter Operations	\$17,001.00
Administration	\$1,320.00
	<u>\$26,431.00</u>
2. <i>Sitkans Against Family Violence</i>	
Shelter Operations	\$25,863.00
Administration	\$568.00
	<u>\$26,431.00</u>
3. <i>Unalaskans Against Sexual Assault and Family Violence in Unalaska</i>	
Homeless Prevention Activities	\$2,065.00
Direct Emergency Services	\$7,922.00
Shelter Operations	\$16,444.00
	<u>\$26,431.00</u>
4. <i>IAC for Non-Violent Living in Fairbanks</i>	
Shelter Operations	\$26,431.00
	<u>\$26,431.00</u>
5. <i>Aiding Women in Abuse & Rape Emergencies, Inc.</i>	
<i>AWARE - Funded from both FFY 04 & FFY 05. Total grant \$26,430.00</i>	
Direct Emergency Services	\$5,108.00
Shelter Operations	\$8,365.00
	<u>\$13,473.00</u>

Emergency Shelter Grant Program

Grant #S-06-DC-02-0001

Annual Report PER FY 06

Alaska's non-metro allocation of Emergency Shelter Funds is administered by DCCED; metro funds are granted by HUD directly to the Municipality of Anchorage. The state program received \$119,463.00 in federal fiscal year 2006 funds, which were distributed on a competitive basis. Six agencies were awarded grants from the Emergency Shelter Grant program. The grants support four general categories of assistance: activities to prevent homelessness such as transportation costs; direct emergency services; and costs to operate shelter facilities, such as utilities and fuel oil. The ESG funds were matched by the local providers with a total of \$640,174 in local funds, local non-cash resources, and other state and federal agency funds.

Summary of Grants made from FFY 06 Emergency Shelter Grant Funds

Grant #S-06-DC-02-00001

1. Catholic Social Services for Brother Francis Shelter in Kodiak	
Homeless Prevention Activities	\$4,461.00
Shelter Operations	\$18,470.00
Administration	\$1,135.00
	<u>\$24,066.00</u>
2. IAC for Non-Violent Living in Fairbanks	
Shelter Operations	\$24,066.00
	<u>\$24,066.00</u>
3. Unalaskans Against Sexual Assault and Family Violence in Unalaska	
Homeless Prevention Activities	\$2,000.00
Direct Emergency Services	\$4,982.00
Shelter Operations	\$9,928.00
	<u>\$16,910.00</u>
4. Aiding Women in Abuse & Rape Emergencies, Inc.- AWARE	
Homeless Prevention Activities	\$1,200.00
Shelter Operations	\$22,865.00
	<u>\$24,065.00</u>
5. The LeeShore Center ~ Kenai/Soldotna	
Shelter Operations	\$22,928.00
Administration	\$1,137.00
	<u>\$24,065.00</u>
6. Ketchikan Committee for the Homeless	
Shelter Operations	\$6,291.00
	<u>\$6,291.00</u>

Priority Activities Under Alaska's Continuum of Care

Throughout FY2008, AHFC supported the Alaska Coalition on Housing and Homelessness by providing staff assistance and teleconference services. Consistent with Guiding Principle #4, the Coalition changed their meeting schedule to “every other month” to provide more time for local planning and coordination. An average of 30 persons representing 9 communities throughout the state participated in Coalition meetings over the reporting period. In December 2007, with support from the Alaska Mental Health Trust and AHFC, the Alaska Coalition hosted a special “Housing Summit” in conjunction with its annual meeting. The event drew 150 participants and included a special session on updating and implementing the Coalition’s combined 10-Year Plan.

AHFC continued its collaboration with the Coalition to facilitate Continuum of Care (CoC) planning and project prioritization processes, as well as produce the “Balance-of-State” competitive funding application. However, due to delays at HUD in the issuing of the FFY08 Continuum of Care application, final submission will not occur until the next reporting period. As a result of changes in the funding allocation for CoC “bonus” projects, no new CoC projects were sought or awarded in SFY08 as a result of the FFY 2007 competition.

In terms of program outcomes, grantees in the Balance-of-State CoC showed improved results in assisting persons to attain and retain permanent housing. Below is from the FY2008 CoC application which summarizes the results for this reporting period. Outcomes meet or exceed HUD goals.

1. Participants in Permanent Housing (PH) HUD Objective: 71%		
HUD will be assessing the percentage of all participants who remain in S+C or SHP permanent housing (PH) for more than six months. SHP projects include both SHP-PH and SHP-Safe Haven PH renewals. Complete the following chart using data based on the <u>most recently submitted</u> APR for Question 12(a) and 12(b) for PH projects included on your CoC Priority Chart:		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	All PH renewal projects with APRs submitted are included in the responses below**	
a.	Number of participants who exited PH project(s)—APR Question 12(a)	19
b.	Number of participants who did not leave the project(s)—APR Question 12(b)	42
c.	Number who exited after staying 7 months or longer in PH—APR Question 12(a)	17
d.	Number who did not leave after staying 7 months or longer in PH—APR question 12(b)	34
e.	Percentage of all participants in PH projects staying 7 months or longer	84%
2. Participants in Transitional Housing (TH) HUD Objective: 61.5%		

HUD will be assessing the percentage of all TH clients who moved to a permanent housing situation. TH projects include SHP-TH and SHP-Safe Haven/TH <i>not</i> identified as permanent housing. Complete the following chart using data based on the <u>most recently submitted</u> APR Question 14 for TH renewal projects included on your CoC Priorities Chart.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	No applicable TH renewals are on the CoC Project Priorities Chart	APR
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	All TH renewal projects with APRs submitted are included in calculating the responses below**	Data
a.	Number of participants who exited TH project(s)—including unknown destination	37
b.	Number of participants who moved to PH	23
c.	Percent of participants in TH projects who moved to PH	62%

The Alaska Balance-of-State CoC also exceeded HUD's goal of 18% securing employment at the time of exit of a CoC-funded program. Of those who exited in the reporting period, 22% reported employment as a source of income. The AK Coalition will continue to work with state workforce development agencies, as well as the Governor's Council on Disabilities and Special Education, to link its members with employment options for homeless persons.

Grant Match Assistance

With authority from the Alaska Legislature, AHFC provides matching grants for several federal competitive grant programs. During FY08 AHFC awarded approximately \$1,075,000 in current and recaptured Corporate funds to match 16 grant requests totaling \$2.3 million from HUD under the FFY07 Continuum of Care, Homeless Assistance program. Six of those grants were awarded to projects in the Balance-of-State Continuum. SHP grantees report that these AHFC funds are critical to the continuity of these projects due to the stagnation of HUD amounts for renewals.

AHFC Homeless Assistance Program (HAP)

In the fall of 2006, AHFC announced a competition for SFY08 funding under its Homeless Assistance Program (HAP). The Homeless Assistance Program is a joint-funded project of AHFC and the Alaska Mental Health Trust Authority. AHFC received 18 applications totaling \$1.8 million. After the applications were scored and ranked, AHFC attached the list of applicants, by rank order, to its funding authorization request to the Alaska Legislature. These combined resources resulted in the following awards to "Balance-of-State" recipients:

<u>Agency</u>	<u>Activity</u>	<u>HAP Award</u>
Tundra Women's Coalition (Bethel)	Shelter & Homeless Prev.	\$ 67,500
Gastineau Human Svcs/Juneau Coalition	Coordinated Homeless Svcs	\$ 246,170
USAFV (Unalaska)	Shelter & Homeless Prev.	\$ 49,200
Kodiak Brother Francis Shelter	Shelter & Homeless Prev.	\$ 117,975
Lee Shore Center – Shelter (Kenai)	Shelter Support	\$ 20,760

KPHI (Homer)	Homeless Prevention	\$ 49,875
S.A.-Fairbanks	Homeless Prevention	\$ 56,410
SA - Sitka	Homeless Prev.	\$ 75,000
Total		\$ 682,890

HAP Performance: As noted in the SFY2008 Action Plan, AHFC established a goal of 75% housing retention among those who sought assistance to prevent homelessness due to a financial crisis. Seven agencies in seven Alaskan communities used HAP funds to provide homeless prevention services during SFY08. Of the 270 households that were assisted, **68% were still housed at the time of their 90-day follow-up contact.** Higher rates of mobility in response to rising utility costs were key factors in this lower rate of retention this year.

Grant recipients that used HAP funds for placement in permanent housing were also required to make a 6-month housing contact to determine housing retention. HAP recipients reported a **permanent housing retention rate of 76%** - a rate lower than the CoC-funded permanent housing projects. Lack of resources to provide follow-up supportive services may be a contributing factor this lower rate.

Emergency Food and Shelter Program (ESFP)

As a result of a “national reallocation,” Alaska received the largest amount ever of EFSP funds in “Phase 26” covering the program year. Approximately 26 homeless service providers in the Balance-of-State received a share of the \$447,954 allotment. All of the local Salvation Armies and many domestic violence shelters and food banks were among the recipients.

Improving Information on Alaska’s Homeless - HMIS

Expansion of the Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) beyond McKinney-funded agencies progressed slower than anticipated. Equipment and software failures, connectivity issues and staff travel and scheduling challenges all contributed to fewer providers coming on line this year. Compounding this problem was the expiration on March 31, 2008 of the Service Agreement between the Municipality of Anchorage (who operates the system) and AHFC. At the end of the program year, staff attorneys for both parties were still in discussion over indemnification language in the new agreement. One encouraging development is the emergence of an exceptional user in an agency in Juneau who has agreed to become the local HMIS technical assistance provider in that community. The presence of this type of local expert will greatly aid in overcoming logistic barriers and bringing the remaining providers into the system in the coming year.

As implementation of the “live” HMIS continued, AHFC continued to collect homeless data through a statewide enumeration that is conducted annually each January and July. The results of the Winter 2008 survey (in terms of those HUD considers to be homeless) are reflected in the chart on the next page.

Part 1: Homeless Population	Sheltered		Unsheltered	Total
	Emergency	Transitional		
1. Number of Households <u>with</u> Dependent Children	41	34	13	88
1a. Number of Persons in these Households (adults & children)	112	103	36	251
2. Number of Households <u>without</u> dependent children	200	116	56	372
2a. Total Number of Persons in these Households	200	116	56	372
Total Persons (lines 1a +2a)	312	219	92	623
Part 2: Homeless Subpopulations	Sheltered		Unsheltered	Total
a. Chronically Homeless	116		23	139
b. Severely Mentally Ill	86		22	108
c. Chronic Substance Abuse	141		28	169
d. Veterans	45		11	56
e. Persons with HIV/AIDS	2		0	2
f. Victims of Domestic Violence	123		5	128
g. Unaccompanied Youth (under 18 years)	9		1	10

Assisting Alaskans with Special Needs

Senior Housing

AHFC's Senior Housing Accessibility Modifications Program (Senior Access) continued during the program year with four (4) non-profit organizations administering the program; one of these opted out of administering the program halfway through the program year. Communities from all areas of the state were served, except the Aleutian/Bristol Bay region and the North Slope Borough because no organizations from these regions applied. Additionally, the Fairbanks North Star borough was served for only half the year.

Approximately \$3.56 million in funding from AHFC's Senior Citizen Housing Development Fund (SCHDF) and \$1.25 million in HOME funds was awarded to develop new senior housing in Ninilchik, Cooper Landing, Meadow Lakes, Willow and Fairbanks.

AHFC worked with the Denali Commission to provide federally funded grants to housing authorities, local governments, and non-profit organizations through the Denali Commission Elder Housing Program (DCEH) to plan, construct and rehabilitate housing so that Alaskan

seniors may continue to live independently in their home communities. During SFY 2008, the Denali Commission awarded AHFC approximately \$5.7 million for this purpose.

Combined funding from the SCHDF, HOME and DCEH made possible the following projects:

Grantee	Project Type	Community	Grant Amount	Total Units	Activity Type
Ninilchik Traditional Council	Independent Senior Living	Ninilchik	\$1,199,216	6	New Construction
Cooper Landing Seniors	Independent Senior Living	Cooper Landing	\$1,458,286	6	New Construction
Meadow Lakes Community Council	Independent Senior Living	Meadow Lakes	\$1,815,600	8	New Construction
Willow Area Seniors	Independent Senior Living	Willow	\$1,646,599	6	New Construction
Retirement Community of Fairbanks	Independent Senior Living	Fairbanks	\$2,236,712	20	New Construction
Haines Assisted Living	Senior Assisted Living	Haines	\$2,163,000	8	New Construction

Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA)

AHFC continued to administer HOPWA grants to support two programs operating in Southeast and Southcentral Alaska. In addition to Anchorage, the service area of the Southcentral project includes the Mat-Su Borough, Kenai Peninsula and Kodiak. These funds are used to provide assistance with rental or short-term utility costs, as well as a wide array of supportive services for persons living with HIV/AIDS. Both projects operate on a calendar year. For the period ending 12/31/07, approximately 60 households in Alaska benefited from these services.

Beneficiary and Special Needs Housing Grant Program

During SFY 2008, the Beneficiary and Special Needs Housing Grant (SNHG) program produced the following accomplishments:

- Three SNHG awards were made in March of 2008. Information for these projects is provided in the below table:

Recipient	REACH, Inc.	Rural CAP	Valley Residential Services
SNHG Award	\$710,900.00	\$230,750.00	\$377,199.00
Target Population	Developmentally Disabled Adults	Developmentally Disabled Adults	Developmentally Disabled Adults
# of Units	1	10	8
Estimated Completion Date	11/30/09	12/30/08	5/31/09

Other Special Needs Housing Programs

Throughout SFY 2008, Alaska Housing Finance Corporation offered HUD funded technical assistance activities targeted at improving the capacity of sponsors to access special needs housing programs and to access “mainstream” housing resources for special needs populations. One important federal program in this area is the HUD 811 program. This program provides both capital funding and project rental assistance for very low income persons with disabilities who are at least 18 years old. Non-profit organizations are eligible to apply, and use HUD 811 funding to construct, rehabilitate, or acquire structures that may be developed into a variety of housing options. In SFY 2008, no non-metropolitan Alaskan applicants received HUD 811 funds.

Another important federal special needs housing resource is the HUD 202 program to assist the very low-income elderly. Under this program, in addition to funding the construction and rehabilitation of projects to create apartments, HUD grants will subsidize rents for five years so that senior residents pay only 30% of their adjusted incomes as rent. During SFY 2008, no non-metropolitan Alaskan applicants received HUD 202 funds.

Because of the limited amount of funding for HUD's Supportive Housing programs and other targeted special needs housing funding sources, accessing mainstream resources for housing and associated supportive services. Targeted direct technical assistance was given to non-profit housing organizations to access housing programs that benefit special needs populations. Other training workshops and forums conducted outreach to potential sponsors of special needs housing. These events included the GOAL (Greater Opportunities for Affordable Housing) workshops conducted during the Fall of 2007, and direct technical assistance and training scholarships throughout SFY 2008.

Oxford House (self help, group recovery homes)

Oxford House is a group recovery home where the residents share expenses and chores. The homes have an absolute no alcohol or drug use policy and offer support for their sobriety by the other house residents. There are 8 Oxford Homes in Alaska (3-Anchorage, 3-Fairbanks, 1-Soldotna, 1-Bethel). Approximately 54 people currently live in Oxford Homes in Alaska. The Partnership for Supported Housing (PSH) is the non-profit that was formed to provide financial support and oversight for the program. PSH's board is made up of a number of other Alaskan non-profits that see the need for affordable, supportive housing for this population.

Bridge Home Program (supportive, independent living)

The Bridge Home is a supportive housing program for people with Mental Health disabilities. The program is designed to provide affordable housing and on-site supportive services and skills training to participants, while they are waiting on the AHFC Housing Choice Voucher Waiting List. Since many of the participants have poor rental and credit histories, many with criminal records, they are often not immediately eligible for the Housing Choice Voucher Program. This program gives them time in their own apartment while they learn the skills needed to maintain residency and demonstrate their capacity by the time they rise to the top of the AHFC Waiting List. The participants each have long histories of stays in the State mental hospital and corrections and often homelessness. A failure rate of 30% was projected, and to date the failure rate remains around 8%.

Dept of Corrections- Institutional Discharge Program

There are individuals who experience severe and persistent mental illness that may need a high level of assistance to be able to live in the community. Many of these individuals get into trouble with the law and have few options for housing when they are released from incarceration. This program was designed to support the retention of housing for those who are identified as a possible threat to themselves or others.

Pre-Development/Start-Up Funds for Special Needs Housing

The Supportive Housing Office (State of Alaska – DHSS/DBH) supports the pre-development activities of many non-profits seeking to create housing that addresses the special needs of individuals who experience disabilities. The Alaska Mental Health Trust Authority provides a grant for start-up costs in projects that serve their beneficiaries. Any project that has a component that serves individuals with a mental health disorder, developmental disability, substance abuse disorder, or Alzheimer's disease are eligible for this grant during the first 24-months of operation.

Other Affordable Housing

Teacher, Health Professional, and Public Safety Housing Grant Program

Attracting and maintaining a pool of qualified teachers, health professionals, and public safety officials in rural Alaska is a goal of the State of Alaska. In order to achieve this, housing for these professionals must be available, affordable and of a quality that encourages these professionals to locate in rural settings. Under the Teacher, Health Professional and Public Safety Housing Grant Program, funding is available from the Denali Commission and the Alaska Housing Finance Corporation for the rehabilitation and development of teacher, health professional, and public safety housing in rural Alaska.

In SFY2008, AHFC awarded \$4.9 million in Denali Commission Funding and \$4.3 million in AHFC Corporate Funding for sixteen rural teacher, health professional, and public safety housing grants. A list of awards is provided below:

Grantee	Project Type	Community	Grant Amount	Total Units	Activity Type
Akiachak Native Community	Health Professional, Public Safety	Akiachak	\$933,227	2	New Construction
Aleutian Housing Authority	Teacher, Health Prof., Public Safety	False Pass	\$418,061	2	New Construction
Aleutian Housing Authority	Teacher, Health Prof., Public Safety	King Cove	\$1,189,952	4	New Construction
Bering Strait School District	Teacher	Shaktoolik	\$487,860	2	New Construction
Bering Strait School District	Teacher	White Mountain	\$950,230	4	New Construction
Kenai Peninsula Borough	Teacher	Nanwalek	\$523,650	2	New Construction
New Stuyahok Traditional Council	Teacher	New Stuyahok - Phase II	\$933,927	4	New Construction
Nome School District	Teacher, Health Prof., Public Safety	Nome	\$297,000	7	Minor Rehabilitation
Northwest Arctic Borough School District	Teacher	Selawik	\$250,000	11	Minor Rehabilitation
Northwest Arctic Borough School District	Teacher	Shungnak	\$250,000	7	Minor Rehabilitation
Tanana School District	Teacher	Tanana	\$462,714	2	New Construction
Yukon Flats School District	Teacher	Arctic Village	\$454,734	3	New Construction
Yukon Flats School District	Teacher	Fort Yukon	\$472,888	2	New Construction
Yukon-Koyukuk School District	Teacher	Kaltag	\$509,918	2	New Construction
Yukon-Koyukuk School District	Teacher	Minto	\$509,918	2	New Construction
Yukon-Koyukuk School District	Teacher	Allakaket	\$509,918	2	New Construction

AHFC also awarded \$126,000 in Predevelopment Funding in SFY2008 under the Teacher, Health Professional, and Public Safety Housing Development. The communities of Angoon, Gustavus, St. Paul, Manakotak, Tyonek, Coffman Cove, St. George, Wrangell, and Metlakatla each received grants of \$14,000 for costs associated with application preparation such as architectural fees, environmental review costs and engineering reports.

AHFC released a Teacher, Health Professional, and Public Safety Housing Grant NOFA for SFY 2009 round in the spring of 2008. AHFC anticipates awarding approximately \$11.0 million in

funding to 14 applications for rental development rehabilitation, new construction, and predevelopment activities.

Since program inception in SFY 2004, the Teacher, Health Professional, and Public Safety Grant Program has developed 204 units of housing totaling \$59 million in total project cost. One hundred twenty of these units are in service.

Efforts to Promote Accessible Housing

Throughout SFY 2008, Alaska Housing Finance Corporation worked with the Alaska Mental Health Trust Authority and the Governor's Council on Disabilities and Special Education to identify and access resources, and develop strategies to help persons with disabilities secure adequate housing. Specific actions during the fiscal year included:

1. The Home Modification Brokerage program, administered by the Alaska Department of Health and Social Services continued to help Alaska Mental Health Trust beneficiaries make accessibility modifications to their homes.
2. The Senior Accessibility Modification program was administered by AHFC during FY 2008.
3. In SFY 2008, awards were made to projects that will provide 68 units that are equipped for sensory and mobility impairments. Of the nine projects awarded funds under the GOAL program, five are projects designated for seniors; HOME funds and Low-Income Housing Tax Credits were awarded to six of the projects.
4. In SFY 2008, awards were made to projects under the SNUG program that will provide two units that are equipped for sensory and mobility impairments.

Alaska's Fair Housing Plan

During SFY 2008, the State of Alaska continued work to implement the update of its Analysis of Impediments (AI) to Fair Housing Choice. The following six impediments were identified, and incorporated into the SFY 2008 Annual Action Plan:

1. **Lack of understanding of what types of discrimination are covered by Fair Housing laws is an impediment to fair housing choice.**
 - AHFC staff, throughout SFY 2008, conducted outreach with representatives of organizations serving members of protected classes. The purpose of this outreach was to improve understanding of available housing resources, and how to access them. During SFY 2008, AHFC continued to have specifically designated staff persons work with organizations serving members of protected classes, to assist them to better understand how to access available housing resources.

- In SFY2008 AHFC conducted 197 HOME CHOICE classes in 27 communities throughout Alaska. HOME CHOICE staff issued 2,679 Certificates of completion to program participants. HOME CHOICE is an eight hour class covered all aspects of homeownership and the home-buying process. These classes are well publicized throughout the state, and organizations representing members of protected classes are informed about the availability of these classes.
 - In April 2008, AHFC sponsored a one-day fair housing training in Anchorage with a presentation given by a representative of Nan McKay.
- 2. Low awareness of available fair housing enforcement mechanisms, and the lack of fair housing advocacy organizations, are identified as impediments.**
- In April 2008, AHFC sponsored a one-day fair housing training in Anchorage with presentations given by a representative of Nan McKay.
 - AHFC produced and distributed posters that can be displayed providing Fair Housing contact information.
- 3. Disabled Alaskans have limited housing opportunities because of financial barriers and the lack of accessible and appropriate housing stock.**
- During SFY 2008, AHFC continued to operate its Housing Choice Voucher Homeownership program targeting households with disabilities. Twenty vouchers are reserved for a household whose head or spouse is a person with disabilities. Even with the expansion of the HCVH program to working families, over 80% of the program's successful participants experience permanent disabilities. One voucher participant purchased a fully accessible home build by a local CHDO. Participant accessed the HCV Homeownership voucher in addition to a soft-second provided through the Municipality's HOME program to purchase the accessible home. Twenty-one families outside of Anchorage purchased homes under this program.
 - AHFC continued to explore mechanisms for project-basing Housing Choice Vouchers to assist severely disabled individuals. The Five Year Public Housing Agency Plan recommended using up to 100 vouchers for project-based housing assistance.
 - AHFC continued to monitor the use of the vouchers set-aside for disabled families and those receiving Medicaid Waiver services. AHFC has established a policy to recycle 100 vouchers to qualified persons with disabilities as vouchers are returned through attrition.
- 4. Various administrative policies, procedures and practices are impediments to fair housing choice for members of protected classes.**
- During SFY 2008, the AHFC Public Housing Division updated its 504 Self Assessment, including updated Fair Housing documentation. This review is continuing to cover records retention; further changes to any impediments to fair housing choice within those programs; ensuring that the next available mobility accessible unit in public housing is targeted to a waiting list family requiring those features; addressing other impediments in a reasonable manner in view of the resources available; and working with local

jurisdictions to implement any of their initiatives to affirmatively further fair housing that require AHFC involvement.

- AHFC has developed a Limited English Proficiency Plan (LEP), including a contract for 24/7 telephonic translation services.

5. Members of protected classes continue to be disproportionately represented in Alaska's homeless population.

- During SFY 2008, AHFC conducted two Homeless Service Providers Surveys---one in July of 2007, and a second one in January of 2008. Both of the surveys indicated that members of protected classes continue to be disproportionately represented in Alaska's homeless population. Alaska Natives and the disabled are represented in far greater numbers in the state's homeless population than their representation in the overall population. The activities described throughout this Annual Performance Report describe mainstream housing and service resources for the homeless that help address this problem.

6. The general lack of affordable and appropriate housing is an impediment to fair housing choice for members of protected classes.

- Throughout the SFY 2008 Annual Performance Report, activities are described that expand the supply and availability of affordable housing in Alaska.

Part 2: Other Housing and Community Development Actions

Annual Performance Report – Public Housing Division Resident Services

The Five-Year Public Housing Agency Plan (July 1, 2005 through June 30, 2010), was adopted by the AHFC Board of Directors in the Spring 2005. Among its objectives, several of the most important are outlined below.

For a more complete review of the plans please view the Five Year and Annual Public Housing Agency Plan, available on the AHFC web page at www.ahfc.state.ak.us, under “Downloads.”

Moving to Work (MTW) Program Designation

Moving to Work (MTW) is a demonstration program originally authorized under the Omnibus Consolidated Rescissions and Appropriations Act of 1996. A MTW designation exempts a public housing authority from most of the 1937 Housing Act and its subsequent amendments. Free of ‘one size fits all’ regulatory constraints, MTW sites have implemented innovative housing and self-sufficiency strategies that address housing needs in locally-determined ways.

In the most recent HUD Appropriations Act, AHFC was named one of four additional public housing authorities added to approximately 30 existing MTW sites. For AHFC, a key component of the designation is the opportunity to combine operating assistance, capital funds, and tenant-based voucher funds into a single Division-wide funding source. The pooling of funds allows for more flexible spending – one consequence being a greater opportunity to repair or expand AHFC’s affordable housing stock through mixed-finance options or other locally determined means. This option cannot be overemphasized given the financial climate AHFC finds itself in. Under the new public housing operating fund formula, AHFC is the single largest ‘decliner’ in the nation. Had it not met its October 15 ‘stop/loss’ goal – holding its loss at five percent from previous year funding – AHFC would otherwise lose 53% of prior year operating subsidy under the new rule.

Based upon knowledge gained from other MTW sites, the contract will: (1) enable AHFC to address housing needs in locally determined ways; (2) enable AHFC to examine alternative rent and income policies to increase housing choices among the low income families; and, (3) give AHFC the flexibility necessary to realize administrative efficiencies and cost savings.

The MTW contract was signed by AHFC in June of 2008 and has a ten-year duration. The contract provides a list of specific exemptions from the 1937 Housing Act. Before acting upon

any of the waivers available in the contract, AHFC must identify any proposed change(s) in a separate 'Moving to Work Plan' that requires both public input and Board of Directors approval. The requirement for AHFC to produce an annual Public Housing Agency Plan is replaced by the MTW planning process.

Public Housing Financial Resources

Rental subsidy for both public housing and the Housing Choice Voucher is derived entirely from Congressional appropriations made through the U.S. Department of Housing & Urban Development (HUD). Tenants pay for part of the operation costs of public housing through rent, which is generally 30% of adjusted family income.

Corporate assets contribute in large measure to capital improvements and to a variety of resident services through an AHFC matching fund. During this planning cycle, the only resident services grant funds anticipated come from a Resident Opportunities and Self Sufficiency (ROSS) grant to support a Juneau partnership with Southeast Regional resource Center.

Public Housing Agency Plan Statement Regarding Project-Based Section 8 Units

AHFC has pledged to operate a pilot program using up to 100 vouchers to address the needs of families requiring special needs housing, working in cooperation with Alaska Mental Health Trust Authority. Initially developments funded under either the GOAL program or the Special Needs Housing Grant program will be targeted for placement of these vouchers.

Public Housing Agency Plan and Homeownership

With the adoption of the Five-Year Consolidated Plan and Annual Action Plans, the AHFC Board of Directors approved an expansion of the Housing Choice Voucher homeownership program by fifty additional units. In addition to the original targeted audience of families whose head or spouse is a person with disabilities, the expanded program also includes working families. The program is available in all the service areas where AHFC operates the voucher program. A total of 41 home closings were completed through June 30, 2008, with additional participants moving quickly toward closing. Acceptance of applications for this program was placed on hold on April 21, 2008 while the Division reorganized to give the new Division Director the opportunity to determine how this program fits into the scope of the Division's Moving to Work Plan.

Public Housing Division Grant Programs

The majority of the resident initiatives that AHFC administers are funded through corporate receipts. The two exceptions are the Resident Opportunities and Self Sufficiency (ROSS) Neighborhood Network grant in Juneau and the recently awarded ROSS Family/Homeownership grant for the Gateway Learning Center in Anchorage. The ROSS Family/Homeownership grant is in the initial stages of implementation. This grant will allow the expansion of the Gateway Learning Center programs and a comprehensive upgrade of the Gateway computer lab. Nine Star Enterprises, Inc., a local non-profit, is the sub-recipient grantee for the ROSS Family/Homeownership grant. A second ROSS Neighborhood Network Grant was sought in response to the HUD FFY07 SuperNOFA for the Gateway Learning Center. If successful, this

grant will fund a remodel the facility and provide funding for a Reimbursable Services Contract with the University of Alaska to teach classes at the Center. The status of the ROSS Neighborhood Networks award is pending but HUD has indicated that awards will be announced in the near future.

Juneau Arts & Humanities - Juneau. This grantee provides substance abuse prevention/youth development, through a year-round creative arts program for youth residing in public housing in Cedar Park and Geneva Woods housing sites. The program served an average of 62 youth, and hosted monthly community activities for youth residents and their families each year, and provides supervised after-school activities year-round at the public housing sites. This grant will continue to serve Juneau residents in SFY09.

Camp Fire Boys & Girls – Fairbanks. This Grantee provides a year-round supervised youth development program in Fairbanks at the Spruce Park and Birch Park housing sites. The program served an unduplicated count of 123 youth during the performance period with an average daily attendance of 28 individuals. Services through this grant will continue in SFY09.

Boys & Girls Club Southcentral Alaska – Juneau & Fairbanks. The Juneau grant was not renewed in SFY08 and only the Fairbanks transportation program was funded. Both of these grants will not be renewed in SFY09; however, the Boys and Girls Club continues to provide transportation services for children who reside in public housing sites. Additionally, AHFC is exploring the potential of making scholarships available, on a statewide basis, for public housing youth residents to enable these youth to continue to attend Clubhouse activities.

Southeast Regional Resource Center – Juneau. This Grantee provides approximately 35 hours per week of open computer lab services for adults and children. In early 2007, AHFC was awarded a HUD Neighborhood Networks grant to expand services to include computer instruction, tutoring, job search and GED completion services, and parental initiatives for both Public Housing and Housing Choice Voucher participants. The Neighborhood Networks grant funded a comprehensive upgrade of the lab at two sites and allowed the program to expand hours of operation. The HUD grant provides \$199,905 of funding for three years, with AHFC matching the HUD grant with corporate receipts of \$152,955. Additional funding from the Department of Education and the City of Juneau allowed the grantee to develop a comprehensive Neighborhood Network. The program also provides limited services to the computer lab in the

Mountain View public housing facility which exclusively serves seniors and individuals who experience permanent disabilities.

Scholarship Funds – Statewide The Community Enrichment Scholarship provided financial assistance to ten public housing tenant or Housing Choice Voucher participants in SFY08. Scholarship increased to \$1,000 in 2007.

Elderly/Disabled Service Coordination

AHFC continues to support the service coordination programs in Anchorage, Fairbanks and Juneau. The service targets elderly and disabled individuals who reside in either an AHFC public housing unit or a Section 8 New project-based development to enable the tenants to remain independent. Corporate grant match funding and the Capital Fund are the primary resources that support these programs. The Fairbanks service coordinator averages approximately 400 contacts per month among residents in three developments consisting of 156 units. The Juneau service coordinator averages approximately 170 contacts per month from the 62 unit Mountain View development.

Family Self-Sufficiency Program

Family Self-Sufficiency (FSS) is a voluntary program designed to promote economic self-sufficiency. Families living in public housing or those receiving assistance from a Housing Choice Voucher are eligible to apply. One of the benefits of enrollment is the escrow savings account. In FSS, rent increases resulting from increases in earned income are diverted by AHFC into an interest bearing savings account. Upon successful completion of a FSS contract, the family is entitled to receive the escrow savings as lump sum payment. In SFY 2008 the statewide average escrow payout was approximately \$3,970.72.

Because of administrative constraints, AHFC has worked closely with the Alaska Division of Public Assistance to devise a program that targets families receiving both voucher assistance and Alaska Temporary Assistance Program benefits. The partnership has enabled AHFC to expand its program beyond the original Anchorage and Juneau sites to now include the Kenai Peninsula, and the Mat-Su Borough. PHD plans to expand the program to all locations where there is a DPA and AHFC presence. AHFC and DPA are exploring the possibility of expanding the program to Nome, Bethel, Wrangell, Ketchikan, and Kodiak.

Public Housing Construction Activities

Alaska Housing Finance Corporation's Public Housing Division had the following construction activities in progress or completed during SFY 2008:

Outlying Areas (All Areas Outside of Anchorage):**Bethel**

Bethel Heights	Maintenance shop electrical service, Lighting and heat	\$ 350,000
----------------	---	------------

Cordova

Sunset View	Window replacement	41,000
-------------	--------------------	--------

Fairbanks

Amp 275	Replace or repair concrete sidewalks, steps, and accessible ramps	100,000
---------	--	---------

Golden Towers	Replace roofing	350,000
---------------	-----------------	---------

Juneau

Cedar Park	Siding replacement	750,000
------------	--------------------	---------

Ketchikan

Schoenbar Park	Interior Improvements (Design submittal due in 9/8/08)	Unknown
----------------	---	---------

Kodiak

Pacific Terrace	Fence and UST overfill containment	327,809
-----------------	------------------------------------	---------

Nome

Beringvue	Maintenance shop electrical service, Lighting and heat	584,000
-----------	---	---------

Wrangell

Etolin Heights	Heating system conversion	450,000
	Roofing Replacement	500,000

Alaska Housing Finance Corporation's SFY 2003 Capital Budget included a strategy to fund the deferred maintenance and major renovation of public housing facilities owned and operated by the Corporation. This strategy addressed a list of projects covered by AHFC's 10-Year Modernization Plan by combining Federal Funds and Corporate Receipts towards the issuance of tax exempt bonds. A total of approximately \$55 million in budget authority (covering the entire

State, including Anchorage) was approved by the Legislature. Most of the SFY 2008 activities described above are still being funded through this strategy. The remaining projects are funded through the annual HUD Capital Fund Program (CFP) or AHFC SFY08 and SFY09 Corporate Receipts authorized annually by the Alaska Legislature since the SFY 2003 Bond.

Developing Economic Initiatives for Low Income Families

On May 31, 2005, the Alaska Two Year State Plan for Title I of the Workforce Investment Act of 1998 was released. Improved service delivery to hard to serve populations was identified as a priority. Transportation and housing were identified as barriers to full inclusion for the disabled and welfare to work clients. A need was seen for transitional housing opportunities to support the expansion of employment opportunities. Building upon the accomplishments of the 2005 plan, Governor Palin's administration released a new Two-Year plan which spans July 1, 2007 through June 30, 2009. In addition to maintaining the one-stop Job Centers and the role of the Workforce Investment Board, the new plan identifies four priorities. They are:

- Alaska's youth will be job ready when they complete high school.
- Alaska will have a world class workforce ready to meet the needs of Alaska's high skill, high demand jobs.
- Alaska's post-secondary vocational and technical training facilities and professional education system will provide world class training, using state-of-the-art equipment and technology.
- Alaska's businesses will have the support and resources to compete in the global market.

The entire plan can be accessed at <http://www.labor.state.ak.us/awib/WIA-WP-2-year-state-plan-0708.pdf>.

Evaluating and Reducing Lead Based Paint Hazards

In September of 1999, HUD published new lead-based paint regulations that described procedures for all HOME-funded projects assisting housing built before 1978. These regulations impacted the Owner-Occupied Rehabilitation Program (ORP), and to a lesser degree, the HOME Opportunity Program (HOP) and the Rental Development Program. Throughout SFY 2008, AHFC worked with its HOME grantees to ensure compliance with the lead based paint regulations. No significant elevated blood lead levels were detected in Alaskan children during SFY 2008 (July 1, 2007 through June 30, 2008).

ADDRESSING HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BARRIERS

In addition to the actual task of building housing or matching available housing to clients in need there are many other issues that surround the topic of housing and that of community development. Clients must meet criteria for particular housing programs in order to qualify for

example. Structures must be properly maintained and inspected, long term sustainability for organizations providing housing and attendant services is an important issue. As such there are other areas outside actual housing units that merit consideration as well. Discussion on these items follows.

Improving Organizational Capacity

Throughout SFY 2008, Alaska Housing Finance Corporation delivered a variety of workshops and direct technical assistance activities that focused upon improving HCD organizational capacity. During SFY 2008, AHFC delivered training or provided TA scholarships for participants to attend trainings on Determining HOME Income and Allowances, Housing Quality Standards, Uniform Physical Condition Standards, and Advanced Housing Inspector Training. Funds were provided to one CHDO, in partnership with the Alaska Mental Health Trust to provide individualized strategic planning and business plan. Additional training opportunities were made available through training and travel scholarships.

AHFC is exploring the potential of bringing the “Building HOME” TA Workshop and an additional Funds Management Workshop to Alaska to expand the organizational capacity of development corporations in the Fall of 2008.

Infrastructure for Housing and Community Development

Progress continued towards the goals of the Rural Sanitation 2005 Action Plan. This plan is meant to be a blueprint to ensure that all Alaskans have access to safe drinking water and a sanitary means of sewage disposal. Federal, state and local entities worked together to improve rural sanitation conditions, and to continue addressing issues of long term affordability and sustainability of these critical infrastructure systems.

During SFY 2008, AHFC's Supplemental Housing Development Grant Fund was funded at \$1.75 million. This program provided funding to Regional Housing Authorities, which use the funds to supplement HUD Office of Native American Programs (ONAP) funded housing developments. The funds in AHFC's program are limited to 20% of HUD's Total Development Cost per project, and can be used only for the cost of on-site sewer and water facilities, road construction to project sites, electrical distribution facilities, and energy efficient design features in the homes.

In 1998, the U.S. Congress passed the Denali Commission Act. This Act defined the following purposes for the Denali Commission:

1. To deliver the services of the Federal Government in the most cost effective manner possible by reducing administrative and overhead costs.
2. To provide job training and other economic development services in rural, particularly distressed communities.

3. To promote rural development, provide power generation and transmission facilities, modern communication systems, water and sewer systems, and other infrastructure needs.

The Denali Commission's SFY 2008 priorities emphasized funding for rural health clinics, upgrades and improvements to rural electrical systems, and bulk fuel storage upgrades and improvements, and funding for elder, teacher and health professional housing. Sustainability and sound business planning are criteria for all Denali Commission projects.

Role of Local Governments

During SFY 2004, the Alaska Municipal League conducted a Survey of Municipal Fiscal Conditions. This survey was conducted between February 22 and March 13, 2004, and was based on 76 responses from municipalities. Nearly half of the rural municipalities surveyed responded they do not have the financial resources necessary to provide minimum public services, or continue as a city or borough. Other concerns identified included:

- Accelerating state budget cuts are overburdening both urban and rural local tax structures.
- State cuts on top of serious local economic downturns in 75% of the municipalities surveyed have a severe dampening effect on local economic recovery.
- Rural problems hurt urban economics, with up to one third of Alaska's urban economies being fueled by commerce with rural Alaska.

These conditions identified in SFY 2004, continued to be aggravated during SFY 2008 by increasing energy, insurance and other costs. Demographic and economic trends are negatively impacting many rural areas of Alaska. The pressure on local government finances makes many hesitant to assume additional responsibilities or promote initiatives to expand affordable housing opportunities. The communities with the greatest housing and community development needs generally face financial pressure with their municipal budgets, and are stretched in terms of staffing capacity to administer HCD projects. This is a growing challenge for all involved in HCD programs.

Targeting and Leveraging Resources

The State of Alaska's Five Year Consolidated Housing and Community Development Plan (SFY 2006 through SFY 2010) identified unmet housing and community needs that far exceeded available resources available to programs governed by the HCD Plan. An objective of the SFY 2008 Annual Action Plan was to effectively target and leverage available HCD resources with all other available resources. Both private and public funding is necessary to meet these needs, and in many cases a combination of funding sources is necessary to make a project viable. During

SFY 2008, Alaska Housing Finance Corporation (AHFC) continued to encourage the effective and coordinated use of available resources through the Greater Opportunities for Affordable Living (GOAL) program. The GOAL program incorporated funding from the HOME Rental Development Program, Low Income Housing Tax Credit Program, and the Senior Citizen Housing Development Program.

The funding provided to Tribally Designated Housing Entities (TDHEs) through the federally funded Indian Housing Block Grant (IHBG) Program provides significant affordable housing resources in Alaska. Statewide, approximately \$100 million is provided annually in this formula program, with approximately \$83 million going to areas covered by this Consolidated Plan. This funding has been used in conjunction with HOME funding, Low Income Housing Tax Credits, and AHFC mortgage financing to expand affordable housing opportunities. In 1996, the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act (NAHASDA) gave the TDHEs greater flexibility and allowed for leveraging of private investment dollars.

Protecting and Improving Housing

During SFY 2008, the preservation and improvement of existing housing stock continued to be an important component of the state's overall housing strategy. A previous section of this report details the rehabilitation activities undertaken by Alaska Housing Finance Corporation's Public Housing Division to improve its housing stock. AHFC also used its HOME Investment

Partnership Program and its weatherization program to provide assistance to low-income households in improving the energy efficiency and safety of their homes. Through the HOME funded Owner-Occupied Rehabilitation Program, \$736,978 was committed during fiscal year SFY 2008 for improving the quality of housing, with 28 housing units actually rehabilitated using this funding during SFY 2008. The Low Income Weatherization Program assisted individuals in weatherizing their homes resulting in lower operating expenses for heating fuel and electricity.

In the Spring of 2008, the Alaska State Legislature approved the allocation of \$300 million dollars for a Weatherization and Home Energy Rebate program. The Weatherization Program is available to Alaska's and renters with low-to-moderate household income. Under the program, qualified participants receive weatherization upgrades at no cost. The Home Energy Rebate Program is open to all homeowners who do not participate in the Weatherization Program. Participating homeowners, regardless of income, may be eligible for up to a \$10,000 rebate for energy-efficiency improvements.

Input from Other Planning Efforts

During SFY 2008, the Interagency Steering Committee for the Consolidated Plan continued to seek input from a variety of local, regional, and statewide in the area of housing and community development. Some of this input includes:

- Alaska Continuum of Care for the Homeless---*Homeless Strategy for All Areas Outside of Anchorage*.
- Alaska Housing Finance Corporation--*Public Housing Agency Plan & Moving to Work Plan*
- Alaska Department of Community and Economic Development---*Rural Alaska Project Identification and Delivery System*.
- Alaska Department of Health and Social Services---*Comprehensive Integrated Mental Health Plan*.
- Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation---*Village Safe Water Program*
- Alaska Department of Transportation---*Statewide Transportation Improvement Program*
- Denali Commission---*Annual Work Plans (FY 2000, FY 2001, FY 2002, FY 2003, FY 2004, FY 2005, FY2006, FFY2007)*
- Fairbanks North Star Borough---*Quarterly Community Research*
- General Accounting Office Report to Congress---*Alaska Native Villages*
- Kenai Peninsula Borough---*Quarterly Report of Key Economic Indicators*
- Matanuska-Susitna Borough---*The Matanuska-Susitna Borough Community Survey, 2006*
- Rural Energy Action Council Findings and Action Recommendations for Governor Murkowski---April 15, 2005.
- Tribally Designated Housing Entities---*Indian Housing Plans and input from Alaska State HUD Field Office---Office of Native American Programs (ONAP)*.
- Alaska Strategic Two-Year State Plan for Title 1 of the Workforce Investment Act of 1998 and the Wagner-Peyser Act, July 1, 2007 to June 30, 2009

These inputs were also used in the development of the new five year Consolidated Plan for the State of Alaska covering state fiscal years 2006 through 2010, and in the development of the FY 2009 Annual Action Plan covering the period July 1, 2008 through June 30, 2009.

PART 3: ASSESSMENT OF PROGRESS IN MEETING FIVE-YEAR HCD PLAN PRIORITIES

1. Use of federal housing and community development programs should emphasize benefit to low-income Alaskans.

- In SFY 2008, AHFC committed (through grant agreement) rental development funds to one project, which will produce 31 units of affordable housing, including two 504 accessible units. This project was funded with \$1.77 Million in HOME dollars. Two additional rental development projects (totaling \$1,115,332 and 57 units) and one additional home ownership project (totaling \$282,000 and 10 units) were awarded.
- During SFY 2007, the HOME Opportunity Program (HOP) offered down-payment and closing cost assistance to 62 families in the amount of \$1,213,414. Twenty-two of these households were at or below 50% of area median income with another seventeen at 50-60% of the median income. Another HOME funded program, the Owner-Occupied Rehabilitation Program (ORP) provided 65 homeowners with rehabilitation assistance. Forty-nine of these ORP assisted households were at or below 50% of area median income with an additional 13 at 50-60% of median income (ORP data includes projects completed and underway during the reporting period).
- In SFY2008, AHFC provided rental assistance to approximately 7,546 families through the Housing Choice Voucher program and AHFC owned public housing. Forty-four percent of these households include seniors or persons with disabilities. AHFC pays approximately \$25 million a year to private landlords for rental assistance for Alaskans who earn less than 50 percent of the median income.
- In SFY2008, AHFC completed weatherization upgrades to 581 homes benefiting 1,773 individuals. Seventy-six percent of the households consisted of elderly or persons with disabilities.

- In SFY 2008, AHFC financed mortgages approximately for 2,000 new first-time homebuyers. In addition, AHFC provided Interest Rate Reductions to low-income households.

2. Federal community development funds should support efforts addressing obstacles to local growth by constructing, upgrading and reducing operating costs of essential community services.

- More than \$130 million in federal Denali Commission funding was made available for critical infrastructure, community facilities, and economic development projects in over 100 Alaska communities. A strong emphasis was placed upon supporting projects and activities that conform to local community planning priorities, and are sustainable for the long term.
- Approximately \$2.7 million in CDBG funds were awarded during FY2008 to communities throughout Alaska to assist with a variety of projects all of which would enable or assist communities with meeting the needs of their citizens.

3. Existing housing supply, both owner-occupied and rentals, should be protected and improved through weatherization and rehabilitation activities.

- The Owner-Occupied Rehabilitation Program (ORP) provided 65 homeowners with rehabilitation assistance. Forty-nine of these ORP assisted households were at or below 50% of area median income with an additional thirteen at 50-60% of median income.
- In SFY2008, AHFC completed weatherization upgrades. Seventy-six percent of the households consisted of elderly or persons with disabilities.
- AHFC contributed \$20,000.00 towards a conference to be held in Oct. 2007 on a variety of energy programs and producing sustainable, appropriate and durable buildings and infrastructure in the circumpolar north.
- \$300 million was appropriated by the State Legislature in the spring of 2008 to develop and administer a statewide Weatherization and a Home Energy Rebate program.

4. Allocation of homeless resources covered by this Consolidated Plan should be consistent with community based strategies addressing homelessness.

- During FY08 AHFC awarded approximately \$682,890 in current and recaptured Corporate funds to match 16 grant requests totaling \$2.3 million from HUD under the FFY07 Continuum of Care, Homeless Assistance program. Six of those grants were awarded to projects in the Balance-of-State Continuum.

- Alaska' Emergency Shelter Grant (ESG) allocation (FFY 2006) of \$119,463 was awarded to six agencies that will apply these resources consistent with community based strategies addressing homelessness.
- Alaska Housing Finance Corporation continued to support the Alaska Coalition on Housing and Homelessness in its efforts to develop a framework and a plan to significantly and measurably reduce homelessness in Alaska. Approximately 30 representatives from 9 communities throughout Alaska consistently participated in the monthly Coalition meetings over the reporting period.

5. State matching funds should be provided to leverage other resources for housing, services related to housing, and community development.

- During SFY 2008, Alaska Housing Finance Corporation provided corporate funds to leverage federal funds.

6. The supply of affordable housing should be expanded for Alaskans with special needs, incorporating appropriate supportive services and accessibility.

- All AHFC rental development projects must meet the minimum requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act, the Fair Housing Amendments Act of 1988, Alaska Statute AS 18.80.240, and other local government ordinances as applicable.
- In SFY 2008, AHFC's GOAL rental development program provided HOME funding for the development of accessible housing in senior or special needs housing projects.

7. Housing and community development projects should incorporate appropriate design and engineering, energy-efficient construction techniques and innovative technologies.

- In the last quarter of FY 2008, the Research Information Center (RIC) began heavy involvement in the planning & implementation of \$300 million in increased funding to the Research & Rural Development Division for weatherization and energy rebate programs. This resulted in continued deferment of library development, purchase of materials, subscriptions and a cut-back in client library visiting hours while increasing electronic response to the general public and AHFC staff needs for information development, management and coordination.
- In FY 2007, RIC usage was approximately 12,000 (a huge increase over FY 2006). In FY 2008, that number increased to almost 16,000. Outreach included 72 presentations to 1665 attendees, and RIC web page hits totaled 6431. The web page hits for RIC do not include the almost 25,000 hits to a new Energy Rebate & Weatherization page in the last 3 months which RIC helped to create and supply with appropriate factsheets, funding allocations, contacts, guidelines and FAQs. Services covered 54 communities from the Arctic Slope to Southeast. Also interviews on a number of statewide and local television and radio programs were conducted. The library continued to expand its web-based electronic documents collection and RIC helped in producing a new AHFC energy activity book for kids.

- AHFC contributed \$20,000.00 towards a conference to be held in Oct. 2007 on a variety of energy programs and producing sustainable, appropriate and durable buildings and infrastructure in the circumpolar north.
- AHFC has partnered with the Cold Climate Research Center in Fairbanks, providing funding for monitoring and research programs in the areas of energy efficiency.
- AHFC provides \$150,000.00 annually for the Alaska Building Science Network. Builders and energy raters are schooled in energy efficiency measures and new technologies with the goal being more energy efficient and overall healthier homes particularly in rural Alaska.
- AHFC grant agreements now include language encouraging the use of Energy Star rated appliances.

8. Through relevant and appropriate training and technical assistance, the statewide housing delivery system should be improved.

- Throughout SFY 2008 AHFC used HUD technical assistance (TA) resources to provide direct technical assistance to Community Housing Development Organizations (CHDOs), HOME grantees, and SHP grantees and to provide resources for these organizations to attend specialized and relevant training opportunities.

Looking to the Future

Progress was made during FY 2008 (July 1, 2007 through June 30, 2008) under each of the eight guiding principles of the State of Alaska's Consolidated Housing and Community Development Plan. This was the third Annual Action Plan and CAPER under the current five year HCD Plan (FY 2006--FY 2010).

As the process to develop the new FY 2010 Annual Action Plan (July 1, 2009 through June 30, 2010) begins in October of 2008, the Interagency Steering Committee will evaluate the findings of the FY 2008 CAPER for potential input. The Interagency Steering Committee for the State's HCD Plan will continue to incorporate input from a wide range of organizations, agencies, units of local/state/federal government, and individuals. When relevant and appropriate, information from other planning processes will be utilized.

APPENDIX A

CDBG Annual Performance Report

SFY 2008 (July 1, 2007 – June 30, 2008)

Emergency Shelter Block Grant			
AR 32735-10		FFY 05	thru 6/30/2008
Grant #	LOCALITY	Grant Amount	Amount Paid
860714	Aware	13,474.00	13,474.00
860715	CSS/Brother Francis Shelter Koidak	26,431.00	26,431.00
860716	Interior AK Living Center	26,431.00	26,431.00
860717	Sitkans Against Family Violence	26,431.00	26,431.00
860718	Unalaskans Against Sexual Assault	26,431.36	26,431.00
		Total Paid	119,198.00
Unobligated Authorization = 0			

Emergency Shelter Block Grant			
AR 10934-11		FFY06	thru 6/30/2008
Grant #	LOCALITY	Grant Amount	Amount Paid
871018	USAFV-Unalaska	16,910.00	16,910.00
871019	IAC Non-Violent	24,066.00	24,066.00
871020	CCS-Brother Francis	24,066.00	24,066.00
871021	Aware Shelter	24,065.00	24,065.00
871022	The LeeShore Center	24,065.00	24,065.00
871023	Ketchikan Homeless	6,291.00	6,291.00
		Total Paid	119,463.00
Unobligated Authorization = 0			

Emergency Shelter Block Grant			
AR 06048 - 12		FFY07	thru 6/30/2008
Grant #	LOCALITY	Grant Amount	Amount Paid
881015	Aware Shelter	25,108.00	25,108.00
881016	Brother Francis Shelter Kodiak, Inc.	25,109.00	23,770.61
881017	IAC Non-Violent	25,109.00	24,796.23
881018	The LeeShore Center	25,109.00	17,396.88
881019	USAFV-Unalaska	20,571.00	15,324.61
		Total Paid	121,006.00
Unobligated Authorization = 0			

STATE GRANT PERFORMANCE/EVALUATION REPORT

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Page 1 of 1

Part 1

State	ALASKA	
Grant Number	B-07-DC-02-0001	Reporting Period FY 2008
		Data as of June 30, 2008

1. Financial Status	2. National Objectives
A. Total Funds	A. Period Specified for Benefit FY to FY
(1) Allocation \$2,566,247.00	B. Amount Used to:
(2) Program Income \$0.00	(1) Benefit to Low/Moderate Income Persons \$0.00
B. Amount Obligated to Recipients \$0.00	(2) Prevent/Eliminate Slums/Blight \$
C. Amount Drawn Down \$0.00	(3) Meet Urgent Community Development Needs \$
D. Amount for State Administration \$0.00	(4) Acquisition/Rehabilitation Noncountable \$
E. Amount for Technical Assistance \$0.00	(5) Local Administration \$
	TOTAL \$0.00

STATE GRANT PERFORMANCE/EVALUATION REPORT

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Page 1 of 1

Part 1

State	ALASKA		Reporting Period FY	2008	
Grant Number	B-06-DC-02-0001		Data as of	June 30, 2008	

1. Financial Status		2. National Objectives	
A. Total Funds		A. Period Specified for Benefit	FY to FY
(1) Allocation	\$2,548,827.00	B. Amount Used to:	
(2) Program Income	\$0.00	(1) Benefit to Low/Moderate Income Persons	\$0.00
B. Amount Obligated to Recipients	\$1,270,250.73	(2) Prevent/Eliminate Slums/Blight	\$
C. Amount Drawn Down	\$237,537.89	(3) Meet Urgent Community Development Needs	\$
D. Amount for State Administration	\$150,997.00	(4) Acquisition/Rehabilitation Noncountable	\$
E. Amount for Technical Assistance	\$25,488.00	(5) Local Administration	\$
		TOTAL	\$0.00

STATE GRANT PERFORMANCE/EVALUATION REPORT

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Page 1 of 1

Part 1

State	ALASKA	Reporting Period FY	2008
Grant Number	B-05-DC-02-0001	Data as of	June 30, 2008

<p>1. Financial Status</p> <p>A. Total Funds</p> <p>(1) Allocation \$2,817,522.00</p> <p>(2) Program Income \$0.00</p> <p>B. Amount Obligated to Recipients \$2,632,996.34</p> <p>C. Amount Drawn Down \$1,979,356.74</p> <p>D. Amount for State Administration \$156,350.44</p> <p>E. Amount for Technical Assistance \$28,175.22</p>	<p>2. National Objectives</p> <p>A. Period Specified for Benefit FY to FY</p> <p>B. Amount Used to:</p> <p>(1) Benefit to Low/Moderate Income Persons \$0.00</p> <p>(2) Prevent/Eliminate Slums/Blight \$</p> <p>(3) Meet Urgent Community Development Needs \$</p> <p>(4) Acquisition/Rehabilitation Noncountable \$</p> <p>(5) Local Administration \$</p> <p>TOTAL \$0.00</p>
--	--

STATE GRANT PERFORMANCE/EVALUATION REPORT

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Page 1 of 1

Part 1

State	ALASKA	Reporting Period FY	2008
Grant Number	B-04-DC-02-0001	Data as of	June 30, 2008

1. Financial Status	2. National Objectives
A. Total Funds	A. Period Specified for Benefit FY to FY
(1) Allocation	B. Amount Used to:
	(1) Benefit to Low/Moderate Income Persons
(2) Program Income	
	(2) Prevent/Eliminate Slums/Blight
B. Amount Obligated to Recipients	
	(3) Meet Urgent Community Development Needs
C. Amount Drawn Down	
	(4) Acquisition/Rehabilitation Noncountable
D. Amount for State Administration	
	(5) Local Administration
E. Amount for Technical Assistance	
	TOTAL

STATE GRANT PERFORMANCE/EVALUATION REPORT

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Page 1 of 1

Part 1

State	ALASKA	Reporting Period FY	2008
Grant Number	B-03-DC-02-0001	Data as of	June 30, 2008

<p>1. Financial Status</p> <p>A. Total Funds</p> <p>(1) Allocation \$3,486,000.00</p> <p>(2) Program Income \$0.00</p> <p>B. Amount Obligated to Recipients \$3,331,340.00</p> <p>C. Amount Drawn Down \$3,083,540.52</p> <p>D. Amount for State Administration \$100,000.00</p> <p>E. Amount for Technical Assistance \$34,660.00</p>	<p>2. National Objectives</p> <p>A. Period Specified for Benefit FY to FY</p> <p>B. Amount Used to:</p> <p>(1) Benefit to Low/Moderate Income Persons \$3,331,340.00</p> <p>(2) Prevent/Eliminate Slums/Blight \$</p> <p>(3) Meet Urgent Community Development Needs \$</p> <p>(4) Acquisition/Rehabilitation Noncountable \$</p> <p>(5) Local Administration \$</p> <p>TOTAL \$3,331,340.00</p>
--	--

STATE GRANT PERFORMANCE/EVALUATION REPORT

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Page 1 of 1

Part 1

State	ALASKA	Reporting Period FY	2008
Grant Number	B-02-DC-02-0001	Data as of	June 30, 2008

1. Financial Status		2. National Objectives	
A. Total Funds		A. Period Specified for Benefit	FY to FY
(1) Allocation	\$3,281,000.00	B. Amount Used to:	
(2) Program Income	\$0.00	(1) Benefit to Low/Moderate Income Persons	\$3,133,190.00
B. Amount Obligated to Recipients	\$3,131,060.52	(2) Prevent/Eliminate Slums/Blight	\$
C. Amount Drawn Down	\$3,226,115.87	(3) Meet Urgent Community Development Needs	\$
D. Amount for State Administration	\$117,129.48	(4) Acquisition/Rehabilitation Noncountable	\$
E. Amount for Technical Assistance	\$32,810.00	(5) Local Administration	\$
		TOTAL	\$3,133,190.00

STATE GRANT PERFORMANCE/EVALUATION REPORT

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Page 1 of 1

Part 1

State	ALASKA	Reporting Period FY	2008
Grant Number	B-01-DC-02-0001	Data as of	June 30, 2008

1. Financial Status	2. National Objectives
A. Total Funds	A. Period Specified for Benefit FY to FY
(1) Allocation	B. Amount Used to:
(2) Program Income	(1) Benefit to Low/Moderate Income Persons
B. Amount Obligated to Recipients	(2) Prevent/Eliminate Slums/Blight
C. Amount Drawn Down	(3) Meet Urgent Community Development Needs
D. Amount for State Administration	(4) Acquisition/Rehabilitation Noncountable
E. Amount for Technical Assistance	(5) Local Administration
	TOTAL

STATE GRANT PERFORMANCE/EVALUATION REPORT

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Page 1 of 1

Part 1

State	ALASKA	Reporting Period FY	2008
Grant Number	B-01-DC-02-0001	Data as of	June 30, 2008

1. Financial Status	2. National Objectives
A. Total Funds	A. Period Specified for Benefit FY to FY
(1) Allocation	B. Amount Used to:
(2) Program Income	(1) Benefit to Low/Moderate Income Persons
B. Amount Obligated to Recipients	(2) Prevent/Eliminate Slums/Blight
C. Amount Drawn Down	(3) Meet Urgent Community Development Needs
D. Amount for State Administration	(4) Acquisition/Rehabilitation Noncountable
E. Amount for Technical Assistance	(5) Local Administration
	TOTAL

STATE GRANT PERFORMANCE/EVALUATION REPORT

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Page 1 of 1

Part 1

State		ALASKA	
Grant Number		B-00-DC-02-0001	
Reporting Period FY		2008	
Data as of		June 30, 2008	

1. Financial Status		2. National Objectives	
A. Total Funds		A. Period Specified for Benefit FY to FY	
(1) Allocation		B. Amount Used to:	
	\$3,188,000.00	(1) Benefit to Low/Moderate Income Persons	
(2) Program Income		(2) Prevent/Eliminate Slums/Blight	
	\$0.00		
B. Amount Obligated to Recipients		(3) Meet Urgent Community Development Needs	
	\$3,188,000.00		
C. Amount Drawn Down		(4) Acquisition/Rehabilitation Noncountable	
	\$3,188,000.00		
D. Amount for State Administration		(5) Local Administration	
	\$163,760.00		
E. Amount for Technical Assistance			
	\$31,880.00		
TOTAL		\$2,812,955.76	

Grant #	LOCALITY	ACTIVITY	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
				AMOUNT	PURPOSE	N. O.B.	PROPOSED	ACTUAL	
861044	Alutians East Borough	C Other Public Facility	6	500,000.00	PF	LM	2697 p/s, 1642 l/m	2697 p/s, 1642 l/m	
861045	Aktutan	C Other Public Facility	6	100,398.00	PF	LM	589 p/s, 374 l/m	Contract in Progress	
Unassigned	Hughes	T Other Public Facility	6	0.00	PF	LM	None	None	
871357	Juneau	Center/Facility	3	14,288.00	PF	LM	Data reported in 06	Data reported in 06	
871358	Kobuk 02/04/05/06	Other Public Facility	6	424,871.00	PF	LM	Data reported in 06	Data reported in 06	
861005	Matanuska-Susitna Borough 04/05	Residential Rehabilitation	9a	500,000.00	H	LM	18 h/s, 18 l/m	Contract in Progress	
861047	Nome 04/05	Other Public Facility	6	300,000.00	PF	LM	1196 p/s, 625 l/m	Contract in Progress	
871299	Kotik 00/03/05	Other Public Facility	6	0.00	PF	LM	591 p/s, 446 l/m	Contract in Progress	
871300	Kenai Peninsula Borough	C Other Public Facility	6	421,000.00	PF	LM	345 p/s, 245 l/m	345 p/s, 245 l/m	
860571	Kweethuk 99/03/04/05	C Center/Facility	3	38,349.00	P/F	LM	Data reported in 03	Data reported in 03	
871301	Sitka City & Borough 05/06	Other Public Facility	6	334,091.00	PF	LM	221 p/s, 197 l/m	Contract in Progress	

Grant #	3 LOCALITY	3a	4 ACTIVITY	4a	5 AMOUNT	6 PURPOSE N. OBL.	7 ACCOMPLISHMENTS	
							PROPOSED	ACTUAL
871356	Dillingham	C	Planning Only	12	M	16,173.00	PL	175 p/s, 175 l/m
880922	Hooper Bay 04/06	NR	Other Public Facilities	6	N	200,566.00	PF	175 p/s, 175 l/m
871357	Juneau 03/04/05		Center/Facility	3	N	0.00	PF	1014 p/s, 805 l/m
871358	Kobuk 02/04/05/06		Other Public Facilities	6		0.00	PF	363 p/s, 363 l/m
871359	Nunam Iqua 04/06		Other Public Facilities	6		0.00	PF	109 p/s, 84 l/m
871301	Sitka City & Borough 05/06		Other Public Facilities	6	N	165,909.00	PF	164 p/s, 139 l/m
Unassigned	Soldotna	T	Other Public Facilities	6		0.00	PF	Data reported in 05
871361	Tanana 03/04/06		Other Public Facilities	6		0.00	PF	None
871362	White Mountain		Planning Only	12		100,000.00	PL	308 p/s, 210 l/m
							L/M	203 p/s, 161 l/m
								Contract in Progress

Grant #	3 LOCALITY	3a	4 ACTIVITY	4a #	5 AMOUNT	5 PURPOSE N	7 OBJ	6 COMPLETMENTS	
								PROPOSED	ACTUAL
Unassigned	Bethel	NR	Other Public Facilities	6	N	830,000.00	PF	465 p/s, 465 l/m	Contract Pending
Unassigned	Haines Borough	NR	Other Public Facilities	3	N	850,000.00	PF	9 p/s, 9 l/m	Contract Pending
Unassigned	Hughes	NR	Other Public Facilities	6	N	303,776.00	PF	78 p/s, 59 l/m	Contract Pending
Unassigned	Mat-Su Borough	NR	Other Public Facilities	6	N	850,000.00	PF	88 p/s, 50 l/m	Contract Pending
Unassigned	Nunapitchuk	NR	Other Public Facilities	6	N	385,695.00	PF	466 p/s, 406 l/m	Contract Pending
Unassigned	Pelican	NR	Other Public Facilities	6	N	465,866.00	PF	163 p/s, 108 l/m	Contract Pending
Unassigned	Thorne Bay	NR	Planning Only	12	N	31,656.00	PL	557 p/s, 377 l/m	Contract Pending

Part III FY 08 PER for FFY 06

KEY:

1. White, not Hispanic
2. Black, not Hispanic
3. Hispanic
4. Asian or Pacific Islander
5. American Indian/Alaskan Native
6. Female heads of households (if applicants or beneficiaries are receiving a direct benefit).

Applicant data required for FY93 and beyond, for activities providing a direct benefit.

Beneficiary data required for FY92 and beyond; include both direct beneficiaries (actual users) and indirect beneficiaries (service area beneficiaries)

Fiscal Year 2006 Grants

Grant #	LOCALITY	ACTIVITY	Act #	Applicant						DIRECT BENEFICIARIES						INDIRECT BENEFICIARIES						TOTAL
				1	2	3	4	5	6	1	2	3	4	5	6	1	2	3	4	5	6	
871356	Dillingham	Planning Only	12	N/A						66	1	7	2	99	N/A	929	17	93	32	1395	N/A	2465
880922	Hooper Bay	Other Public Facilities	6	N/A						based on area wide benefit						48	2	3	6	955	N/A	1014
871357	Juneau	Center/Facility	3	N/A						286	3	13	18	43	N/A	24154	252	1116	1526	3663	N/A	30711
871358	Kobuk	Other Public Facilities	6	N/A						based on area wide benefit						5	0	5	1	98	N/A	109
871359	Nunam Iqua	Other Public Facilities	6	N/A						based on area wide benefit						10	1	0	0	153	N/A	164
871301	Sitka	Other Public Facilities	6	N/A						Data reported in 05						Data reported in 05						
Unassigned	Soldotna	Other Public Facilities	6	N/A						None						None						
871361	Tanana	Other Public Facilities	6	N/A						based on area wide benefit						57	0	2	0	249	N/A	308
871362	White Mountain	Planning Only	12	N/A						based on area wide benefit						28	0	1	0	174	N/A	203

KEY:
1. White, not Hispanic
2. Black, not Hispanic
3. Hispanic
4. Asian or Pacific Islander
5. American Indian/Alaskan Native
6. Female heads of households (if applicants or beneficiaries are receiving a direct benefit).

Applicant data required for FY93 and beyond, for activities providing a direct benefit.

Beneficiary data required for FY92 and beyond; include both direct beneficiaries (actual users) and indirect beneficiaries (service area beneficiaries)

Fiscal Year 2006 Grants

Grant #	LOCALITY	ACTIVITY	Act #	Applicant						DIRECT BENEFICIARIES						INDIRECT BENEFICIARIES						TOTAL
				1	2	3	4	5	6	1	2	3	4	5	6	1	2	3	4	5	6	
871356	Dillingham	Planning Only	12	N/A						66	1	7	2	99	N/A	929	17	93	32	1395	N/A	2466
880922	Hooper Bay	Other Public Facilities	6	N/A						based on area wide benefit						48	2	3	6	955	N/A	1014
871357	Juneau	Center/Facility	3	N/A						286	3	13	18	43	N/A	24154	252	1116	1526	3863	N/A	30711
871358	Kobuk	Other Public Facilities	6	N/A						based on area wide benefit						5	0	5	1	98	N/A	109
871359	Nunam Iqua	Other Public Facilities	6	N/A						based on area wide benefit						10	1	0	0	153	N/A	164
871301	Sitka	Other Public Facilities	6	N/A						Data reported in 05						None						
Unassigned	Soldotna	Other Public Facilities	6	N/A						None						57	0	2	0	249	N/A	308
871361	Tanana	Other Public Facilities	6	N/A						based on area wide benefit						28	0	1	0	174	N/A	203
871362	White Mountain	Planning Only	12	N/A						based on area wide benefit												

Part III FY 08 for FFY 07

KEY:

1. White, not Hispanic
2. Black, not Hispanic
3. Hispanic
4. Asian or Pacific Islander
5. American Indian/Alaskan Native
6. Female heads of households (if applicants or beneficiaries are receiving a direct benefit).

Applicant data required for FY93 and beyond, for activities providing a direct benefit.

Beneficiary data required for FY92 and beyond; include both direct beneficiaries (actual users) and indirect beneficiaries (service area beneficiaries)

Fiscal Year 2007 Grants

Grant #	LOCALITY	ACTIVITY	Agt #	Applicant						DIRECT BENEFICIARIES						INDIRECT BENEFICIARIES						TOTAL
				1	2	3	4	5	6	1	2	3	4	5	6	1	2	3	4	5	6	
Unassigned	Bethel	Other Public Facilities	6	N/A						190	5	4	56	210	N/A	1493	112	155	288	3423	N/A	5471
Unassigned	Haines Borough	Center/Facility	3	N/A						3	0	1	1	4	N/A	1996	12	45	38	301	N/A	2392
Unassigned	Hughes	Other Public Facilities	6	N/A						based on area wide benefit						9	0	8	0	61	N/A	78
Unassigned	Mat-Su Borough	Other Public Facilities	6	N/A						based on area wide benefit						79	0	0	0	9	N/A	88
Unassigned	Nunapitchuk	Other Public Facilities	6	N/A						based on area wide benefit						17	0	4	0	445	N/A	466
Unassigned	Pelican	Other Public Facilities	6	N/A						based on area wide benefit						120	0	2	2	39	N/A	163
Unassigned	Thorne Bay	Planning	6	N/A						based on area wide benefit						520	0	9	5	23	N/A	557

Part III FY 08 PER for FFY 08

KEY:	
1. White, not Hispanic	
2. Black, not Hispanic	
3. Hispanic	
4. Asian or Pacific Islander	
5. American Indian/Alaskan Native	
6. Female heads of households (if applicants or beneficiaries are receiving a direct benefit).	

Applicant data required for FY93 and beyond, for activities providing a direct benefit.

Beneficiary data required for FY92 and beyond; include both direct beneficiaries (actual users) and indirect beneficiaries (service area beneficiaries)

Fiscal Year 2007 Grants

Grant #	LOCALITY	ACTIVITY	Applicant						DIRECT BENEFICIARIES						INDIRECT BENEFICIARIES						TOTAL
			1	2	3	4	5	6	1	2	3	4	5	6	1	2	3	4	5	6	

APPENDIX B-1

HOME Match Report

SFY 2008 (July 1, 2007 – June 30, 2008)

OMB Approval No. 2506-0171
(exp. 05/31/2007)

[illegible]

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 45 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

The HOME statute imposes a significant number of data collection and reporting requirements. This includes information on assisted properties, on the owners or tenants of the properties, and on other programmatic areas. The information will be used: 1) to assist HOME participants in managing their programs; 2) to track performance of participants in meeting fund commitment and expenditure deadlines; 3) to permit HUD to determine whether each participant meets the HOME statutory income targeting and affordability requirements; and 4) to permit HUD to determine compliance with other statutory and regulatory program requirements. This data collection is authorized under Title II of the Cranston-Gonzalez National Affordable Housing Act or related authorities. Access to Federal grant funds is contingent on the reporting of certain project-specific data elements. Records of information collected will be maintained by the recipients of the assistance. Information on activities and expenditures of grant funds is public information and is generally available for disclosure. Recipients are responsible for ensuring confidentiality when public disclosure is not required.

Instructions for the HOME Match Report

Applicability:

The HOME Match Report is part of the HOME APR and must be filled out by every participating jurisdiction that incurred a match liability. Match liability occurs when FY 1993 funds (or subsequent year funds) are drawn down from the U.S. Treasury for HOME projects. A Participating Jurisdiction (PJ) may start counting match contributions as of the beginning of Federal Fiscal Year 1993 (October 1, 1992). A jurisdiction not required to submit this report, either because it did not incur any match or because it had a full match reduction, may submit a HOME Match Report if it wishes. The match would count as excess match that is carried over to subsequent years. The match reported on this form must have been contributed during the reporting period (between October 1 and September 30).

Timing:

This form is to be submitted as part of the HOME APR on or before December 31. The original is sent to the HUD Field Office. One copy is sent to the

Office of Affordable Housing Programs, CGHF
Room 7176, HUD, 451 7th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20410.

The participating jurisdiction also keeps a copy.

Instructions for Part II:

1. **Excess match from prior Federal fiscal year:** Excess match carried over from prior Federal fiscal year.
2. **Match contributed during current Federal fiscal year:** The total amount of match contributions for all projects listed under Part III in column 9 for the Federal fiscal year.

3. **Total match available for current Federal fiscal year:** The sum of excess match carried over from the prior Federal fiscal year (Part II, line 1) and the total match contribution for the current Federal fiscal year (Part II, line 2). This sum is the total match available for the Federal fiscal year.
4. **Match liability for current Federal fiscal year:** The amount of match liability is available from HUD and is provided periodically to PJs. The match must be provided in the current year. The amount of match that must be provided is based on the amount of HOME funds drawn from the U.S. Treasury for HOME projects. The amount of match required equals 25% of the amount drawn down for HOME projects during the Federal fiscal year. Excess match may be carried over and used to meet match liability for subsequent years (see Part II line 5). Funds drawn down for administrative costs, CHDO operating expenses, and CHDO capacity building do not have to be matched. Funds drawn down for CHDO seed money and/or technical assistance loans do not have to be matched if the project does not go forward. A jurisdiction is allowed to get a partial reduction (50%) of match if it meets one of two statutory distress criteria, indicating "fiscal distress," or else a full reduction (100%) of match if it meets both criteria, indicating "severe fiscal distress."

The two criteria are poverty rate (must be equal to or greater than 125% of the average national family poverty rate to qualify for a reduction) and per capita income (must be less than 75% of the national average per capita income to qualify for a reduction). In addition, a jurisdiction can get a full reduction if it is declared a disaster area under the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Act.

5. **Excess match carried over to next Federal fiscal year:** The total match available for the current Federal fiscal year (Part II, line 3) minus the match liability for the current Federal fiscal year (Part II, line 4). Excess match may be carried over and applied to future HOME project match liability.

Instructions for Part III:

1. **Project No. or Other ID:** "Project number" is assigned by the C/M System when the PJ makes a project setup call. These projects involve at least some Treasury funds. If the HOME project does not involve Treasury funds, it must be identified with "other ID" as follows: the fiscal year (last two digits only), followed by a number (starting from "01" for the first non-Treasury-funded project of the fiscal year), and then at least one of the following abbreviations: "SF" for project using shortfall funds, "PJ" for projects using program income, and "NON" for non-HOME-assisted affordable housing. Example: 93.01.SF, 93.02.PJ, 93.03.NON, etc.

Shortfall funds are non-HOME funds used to make up the difference between the participation threshold and the amount of HOME funds allocated to the PJ; the participation threshold requirement applies only in the PJ's first year of eligibility. [§92.102]

Program income (also called "repayment income") is any return on the investment of HOME funds. This income must be deposited in the jurisdiction's HOME account to be used for HOME projects. [§92.503(b)]

Non-HOME-assisted affordable housing is investment in housing not assisted by HOME funds that would qualify as "affordable housing" under the HOME Program definitions. "NON" funds must be contributed to a specific project; it is not sufficient to make a contribution to an entity engaged in developing affordable housing. [§92.219(b)]

2. **Date of Contribution:** Enter the date of contribution. Multiple entries may be made on a single line as long as the contributions were made during the current fiscal year. In such cases, if the contributions were made at different dates during the year, enter the date of the last contribution.

3. **Cash:** Cash contributions from non-Federal resources. This means the funds are contributed permanently to the HOME Program regardless of the form of investment the jurisdiction provides to a project. Therefore all repayment, interest, or other return on investment of the contribution must be deposited in the PJ's HOME account to be used for HOME projects. The PJ, non-Federal public entities (State/local governments), private entities, and individuals can make contributions. The grant equivalent of a below-market interest rate loan to the project is eligible when the loan is not repayable to the PJ's HOME account. [§92.220(a)(1)] In addition, a cash contribution can count as match if it is used for eligible costs defined under §92.206 (except administrative costs and CHDO operating expenses) or under §92.209, or for the following non-eligible costs: the value of non-Federal funds used to remove and relocate ECHO units to accommodate eligible tenants, a project reserve account for re-placements, a project reserve account for unanticipated increases in operating costs, operating subsidies, or costs relating to the portion of a mixed-income or mixed-use project not related to the affordable housing units. [§92.219(c)]

4. **Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges:** Taxes, fees, and charges that are normally and customarily charged but have been waived, foregone, or deferred in a manner that achieves affordability of the HOME-assisted housing. This includes State tax credits for low-income housing development. The amount of real estate taxes may be based on the

post-improvement property value. For those taxes, fees, or charges given for future years, the value is the present discounted cash value. [§92.220(a)(2)]

5. **Appraised Land/Real Property:** The appraised value, before the HOME assistance is provided and minus any debt burden, lien, or other encumbrance, of land or other real property, not acquired with Federal resources. The appraisal must be made by an independent, certified appraiser. [§92.220(a)(3)]

6. **Required Infrastructure:** The cost of investment, not made with Federal resources, in on-site and off-site infrastructure directly required for HOME-assisted affordable housing. The infrastructure must have been completed no earlier than 12 months before HOME funds were committed. [§92.220(a)(4)]

7. **Site preparation, Construction materials, Donated labor:** The reasonable value of any site-preparation and construction materials, not acquired with Federal resources, and any donated or voluntary labor (see §92.354(b)) in connection with the site-preparation for, or construction or rehabilitation of, affordable housing. The value of site-preparation and construction materials is determined in accordance with the PJ's cost estimate procedures. The value of donated or voluntary labor is determined by a single rate ("labor rate") to be published annually in the Notice Of Funding Availability (NOFA) for the HOME Program. [§92.220(6)]

8. **Bond Financing:** Multifamily and single-family project bond financing must be validly issued by a State or local government (or an agency, instrumentality, or political subdivision thereof). 50% of a loan from bond proceeds made to a multifamily affordable housing project owner can count as match. 25% of a loan from bond proceeds made to a single-family affordable housing project owner can count as match. Loans from all bond proceeds, including excess bond match from prior years, may not exceed 25% of a PJ's total annual match contribution. [§92.220(a)(5)] The amount in excess of the 25% cap for bonds may carry over, and the excess will count as part of the statutory limit of up to 25% per year. Requirements regarding

bond financing as an eligible source of match will be available upon publication of the implementing regulation early in FY 1994.

9. **Total Match:** Total of items 3 through 8. This is the total match contribution for each project identified in item 1.

Ineligible forms of match include:

1. Contributions made with or derived from Federal resources e.g. CDBG funds [§92.220(b)(1)]
2. Interest rate subsidy attributable to the Federal tax-exemption on financing or the value attributable to Federal tax credits [§92.220(b)(2)]
3. Contributions from builders, contractors or investors, including owner equity, involved with HOME-assisted projects. [§92.220(b)(3)]
4. Sweat equity [§92.220(b)(4)]
5. Contributions from applicants/recipients of HOME assistance [§92.220(b)(5)]
6. Fees/charges that are associated with the HOME Program only, rather than normally and customarily charged on all transactions or projects [§92.220(a)(2)]
7. Administrative costs

APPENDIX B-2

HOME AFFIRMATIVE MARKETING ASSESSMENT

SFY 2008 (July 1, 2007 – June 30, 2008)

HOME Program Affirmative Marketing Assessment

Introduction

As part of its affirmative marketing plan, Alaska Housing Finance Corporation employs several strategies in relation to the HOME Program. For Example:

Section 3 and MBE WBE Reporting. AHFC enters into agreements with each of its HOME subrecipients which include Section 3 Affirmative Marketing reporting requirements. Section 3 reporting applies to organizations that have contracts with AHFC for at least \$200,000 in HUD funds. Section 3 also applies to *subcontracts* of at least \$100,000 in HUD funds. Minority and Women Owned business reporting is required for all grantees and/or subrecipients of HOME funds, however, only contracts of \$25,000 or more need be reported to AHFC. Reports are required quarterly, and as part of the grant close-out process prior to the release of the final retainage payment.

Job Training Programs. Via the Greater Opportunities for Affordable Living (GOAL) program, AHFC offers HOME grants and loans, Low Income Housing Tax Credits, Denali Elder Housing Program and Senior Citizen Housing Development Fund grants for construction and/or rehabilitation of affordable and senior housing. Under the GOAL program up to ten (10) extra points (out of a total possible score of 214) may be awarded to applications that include job training programs. Grants funded through the GOAL program can pay the additional costs caused by incorporating job training programs into project scopes. This has resulted in an increase to the number of applications containing job training programs, thus increasing the inclusion of Section 3 residents in the development process.

Preference for Special Needs Housing. Via the GOAL program, AHFC also may award up to fifteen (15) points to projects proposing to serve special needs populations. Special needs populations include senior citizens, persons with mental or physical disabilities, persons/families whose annual incomes do not exceed 30% of the area median income, and homeless persons. This category generates many applications for housing designed to serve special needs populations, and results in those projects gaining a point advantage for full funding under the program.

Preference for Increased Accessibility. The GOAL program awards points for applications that contain a sponsor pledge to “equip” more rental units than are legally required. The number of points awarded depends on the number of extra units that will be equipped. Applications indicating the inclusion of Universal Design standards are also eligible for additional points under the Innovative Design evaluation category where they may gain up to ten (10) points.

Monitoring and Technical Assistance. Subrecipients are monitored regularly, including activity related to affirmative marketing, MBE/WBE and Section 3. Technical assistance is provided through phone conversations, emails, meetings during monitoring site visits and more formal training opportunities. AHFC staff is trained to constantly assess the technical assistance needs of subrecipients and to respond quickly with the appropriate training so that every training opportunity can be maximized.

Evaluation

Section 3 and MBE WBE Reporting. AHFC began requiring Section 3 and MBE/WBE reporting on a quarterly basis during SFY 2005. As a result, subrecipients address pertinent issues much earlier during the grant performance period than they did when only final reports were required. During review of the quarterly reports, AHFC staff identify potential equal opportunity issues and resolutions are timely. No changes proposed.

Job Training Programs. During the reporting period, five (5) projects were awarded HOME funds and three (3) projects remained open from previous years. All eight (8) projects incorporated job training programs as part of their applications and grant agreements. Job training programs enhance the contractors' abilities to fulfill Section 3 hiring goals. No changes proposed.

Preference for Special Needs Housing. This preference provides an effective way to promote the new construction, acquisition and/or rehabilitation of special needs housing. Of the five (5) projects awarded HOME funds during the reporting period and the one project remaining open from a previous funding cycle, five (5) received points under this preference. The special needs served included seniors (2), disabled (3), low income (2) and homeless (2). Applications that commit to a homeless preference in their waiting lists receive a flat five (5) points *in addition to the points received under the special needs preference*. No additional changes are proposed.

Preference for Increased Accessibility. The GOAL program awards extra points to sponsors that pledge to "equip" more rental units than are legally required. Of the projects awarded HOME funds through the 2008 GOAL competition, and the three (3) projects from prior years that were still outstanding, six proposed to equip more units than were required. Additionally, projects utilized Universal Design features which resulted in additional points being awarded under in the "Design" category. No changes proposed.

Monitoring and Technical Assistance. All HOME projects are monitored for affirmative marketing efforts either through on-site visits, or through desk monitoring, or both. Reviewing ORP and HOP monitoring over the past years has suggested a need to strengthen the affirmative marketing in those programs and to better understand why Blacks, Asians and Hispanics are the least likely to apply. The most recent HOP NOFA also added 5 points for the quality of the affirmative marketing plan proposed by the applicant.

AHFC continues to make technical assistance available to HOME recipients. During SFY2008, AHFC sponsored fair housing training provided by Nan McKay. Also provided were courses on housing inspection (Housing Quality Standards, Uniform Physical Condition Standards, and Advanced Inspector Training) as well as a course on Determining HOME Incomes and Allowances.

APPENDIX B-3

HOME MBE/WBE Report

SFY 2008 (July 1, 2007 – June 30, 2008)

Annual Performance Report HOME Program

U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development
Office of Community Planning
and Development

OMB Approval No. 2506-0171
(exp. 8/31/2009)

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 2.5 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

The HOME statute imposes a significant number of data collection and reporting requirements. This includes information on assisted properties, on the owners or tenants of the properties, and on other programmatic areas. The information will be used: 1) to assist HOME participants in managing their programs; 2) to track performance of participants in meeting fund commitment and expenditure deadlines; 3) to permit HUD to determine whether each participant meets the HOME statutory income targeting and affordability requirements; and 4) to permit HUD to determine compliance with other statutory and regulatory program requirements. This data collection is authorized under Title II of the Cranston-Gonzalez National Affordable Housing Act or related authorities. Access to Federal grant funds is contingent on the reporting of certain project-specific data elements. Records of information collected will be maintained by the recipients of the assistance. Information on activities and expenditures of grant funds is public information and is generally available for disclosure. Recipients are responsible for ensuring confidentiality when public disclosure is not required.

This form is intended to collect numeric data to be aggregated nationally as a complement to data collected through the Cash and Management Information (C/M) System. Participants should enter the reporting period in the first block. The reporting period is October 1 to September 30. Instructions are included for each section if further explanation is needed.

Submit this form on or before December 31.	This report is for period (mm/dd/yyyy)		Date Submitted (mm/dd/yyyy)
Send one copy to the appropriate HUD Field Office and one copy to: HOME Program, Rm 7176, 451 7th Street, S.W., Washington D.C. 20410	Starting 07/01/2007	Ending 06/30/2008	09/30/2008

Part I Participant Identification

1. Participant Number SG020100	2. Participant Name State of Alaska: Alaska Housing Finance Corporation		
3. Name of Person completing this report Colette Slover		4. Phone Number (Include Area Code) 907-330-8275	
5. Address 4300 boniface Parkway; P.O. box 101020	6. City Anchorage	7. State AK	8. Zip Code 99510

Part II Program Income

Enter the following program income amounts for the reporting period: in block 1, enter the balance on hand at the beginning; in block 2, enter the amount generated; in block 3, enter the amount expended; and in block 4, enter the amount for Tenant-Based rental Assistance.

1. Balance on hand at Beginning of Reporting Period	2. Amount received during Reporting Period	3. Total amount expended during Reporting Period	4. Amount expended for Tenant-Based Rental Assistance	5. Balance on hand at end of Reporting Period (1 + 2 - 3) = 5
Section Not Required				

Part III Minority Business Enterprises (MBE) and Women Business Enterprises (WBE)

In the table below, indicate the number and dollar value of contracts for HOME projects completed during the reporting period.

	a. Total	Minority Business Enterprises (MBE)			f. White Non-Hispanic
		b. Alaskan Native or American Indian	c. Asian or Pacific Islander	d. Black Non-Hispanic	
A. Contracts					
1. Number	0	0	0	0	0
2. Dollar Amount	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
B. Sub-Contracts					
1. Number	16	5	0	0	10
2. Dollar Amount	\$5,967,396	\$5,416,367	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$81,128
	a. Total	b. Women Business Enterprises (WBE)	c. Male		
C. Contracts					
1. Number	0	0	N/A		
2. Dollar Amount	\$0.00	\$0.00	N/A		
D. Sub-Contracts					
1. Number	19	5	14		
2. Dollar Amounts	\$6,272,723	\$358,470	\$5,914,253		

Part IV Minority Owners of Rental Property

In the table below, indicate the number of HOME assisted rental property owners and the total dollar amount of HOME funds in these rental properties assisted during the reporting period.

	a. Total	Minority Property Owners				f. White Non-Hispanic
		b. Alaskan Native or American Indian	c. Asian or Pacific Islander	d. Black Non-Hispanic	e. Hispanic	
1. Number	N/A					
2. Dollar Amount	N/A					

Part V Relocation and Real Property Acquisition

Indicate the number of persons displaced, the cost of relocation payments, the number of parcels acquired, and the cost of acquisition. The data provided should reflect only displacements and acquisitions occurring during the reporting period.

	a. Number	b. Cost				
1. Parcels Acquired	0	N/A				
2. Businesses Displaced	0	N/A				
3. Nonprofit Organizations Displaced	0	N/A				
4. Households Temporarily Relocated, not Displaced	0	N/A				
Households Displaced	a. Total	Minority Business Enterprises (MBE)				f. White Non-Hispanic
		b. Alaskan Native or American Indian	c. Asian or Pacific Islander	d. Black Non-Hispanic	e. Hispanic	
5. Households Displaced - Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Households Displaced - Cost	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

APPENDIX B-4

Active HOME Projects

SFY 2008 (July 1, 2007 – June 30, 2008)

Project Owner: Yenlo Square Phase I Limited Partnership
Owner Address: 1075 Check St., Suite 202
Project Location: Wasilla, AK
Total Number of Bedrooms: 41
Unit Mix: 27 one-bedroom units; 7 two-bedroom units.
Total Number of Units: 34
Total Number of LI Units: 34
Total Number of HOME Units: 4

Project Owner: Chugach Colony Estates Limited Partnership
Owner Address: 831 S. Chugach Street
Project Location: Palmer, AK
Total Number of Bedrooms: 37
Unit Mix: 25 one-bedroom units; 6 two-bedroom units.
Total Number of Units: 31
Total Number of LI Units: 28
Total Number of HOME Units: 7

Project Owner: Kenai Peninsula Housing Initiatives
Owner Address: 332 East Pioneer Avenue, Suite #3
Project Location: Soldotna, AK
Total Number of Bedrooms: 8
Unit Mix: 8 one-bedroom units.
Total Number of Units: 8
Total Number of LI Units: 8
Total Number of HOME Units: 3

APPENDIX B-5

HOME Opportunity Program (HOP) Outcomes

SFY 2008 (July 1, 2007 – June 30, 2008)

HOP Outcomes -SFY 2008 Projects Started and Completed

Sub	Address	Community	Total Estimated Costs	HOP \$	App lica nt	Household Typ	Occup.	Income Group	0-30% MFI	30- 50% MFI	50-60% MFI	60- 80% MFI	Race	Ethnicity	Start	Complete
ACDC	SALAMATOF DR	SOLDOTNA	\$ 30,000.00	\$ 30,000.00	MM	Single Parent	Owner	50-60%	0	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	3/29/2007	8/3/2007
ACDC	COHOE AVE	SOLDOTNA	\$ 30,000.00	\$ 30,000.00	MM	Single Parent	Owner	30-50%	0	1	0	0	Multi-Rac	Non-Hispanic	5/7/2007	8/24/2007
ACDC	S. AURORA VIEW CIR	PALMER	\$ 30,000.00	\$ 30,000.00	RH	Elderly	Owner	0-30%	1	0	0	0	White	Non-Hispanic	7/11/2007	12/12/2007
ACDC	N. ASHFORD BLVD	WASILLA	\$ 28,500.00	\$ 28,500.00	LB	Single Parent	Owner	0-30%	1	0	0	0	White	Non-Hispanic	9/31/2007	8/27/2007
ACDC	W. BEVERLY LAKE ROAD	WASILLA	\$ 20,000.00	\$ 20,000.00	LH	Single Parent	Owner	30-50%	0	1	0	0	Multi-Rac	Non-Hispanic	6/14/2007	12/12/2007
ACDC	VINEWOOD LAKE	SEWARD	\$ 20,000.00	\$ 20,000.00	SA	Single Parent	Owner	60-80%	0	0	0	1	White	Non-Hispanic	6/14/2007	1/1/2008
ACDC	E. RUTH DRIVE	WASILLA	\$ 20,000.00	\$ 20,000.00	BM	Single	Owner	60-80%	0	0	0	1	White	Non-Hispanic	6/27/2007	1/3/2008
ACDC	S. WOLF ROAD	BIG LAKE	\$ 30,000.00	\$ 30,000.00	SL	Single	Owner	0-30%	1	0	0	0	Multi-Rac	Non-Hispanic	6/27/2007	12/12/2007
ACDC	AUK STREET	KENAI	\$ 8,910.00	\$ 8,910.00	RR	Single Parent	Owner	60-50%	0	0	0	1	White	Non-Hispanic	6/26/2007	12/12/2007
ACDC	N. EDENFIELD ROAD	WASILLA	\$ 30,000.00	\$ 30,000.00	CC	Single Parent	Owner	50-60%	0	0	1	0	Asian	Non-Hispanic	7/10/2007	12/13/2007
ACDC	MARHENKE STREET	NIKISKI	\$ 28,950.00	\$ 28,950.00	HM	Other	Owner	30-50%	0	1	0	0	White	Non-Hispanic	7/18/2007	12/13/2007
ACDC	LINWOOD LANE	KENAI	\$ 30,000.00	\$ 30,000.00	RM	Two Parents	Owner	50-60%	0	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	7/19/2007	12/13/2007
ACDC	N. INDEPENDENCE STREET	PALMER	\$ 20,000.00	\$ 20,000.00	SR	Single	Owner	60-80%	0	0	0	1	Other	Hispanic	7/23/2007	12/13/2007
ACDC	S. HANSON LOOP	WASILLA	\$ 30,000.00	\$ 30,000.00	SP	Single Parent	Owner	50-60%	0	0	1	0	Multi-Rac	Non-Hispanic	8/2/2007	1/23/2008
ACDC	S. LONG BRANCH STREET	TALKEETNA	\$ 14,890.00	\$ 14,890.00	AJ	Single Parent	Owner	30-50%	0	1	0	0	White	Non-Hispanic	10/16/2007	12/13/2007
ACDC	HALLER STREET	KENAI	\$ 20,024.00	\$ 20,024.00	GB	Elderly	Owner	0-30%	1	0	0	0	White	Non-Hispanic	9/6/2007	12/13/2007
ACDC	N. GREY WOLF CIRCLE	WASILLA	\$ 29,280.00	\$ 29,280.00	YS	Two Parents	Owner	30-50%	0	1	0	0	White	Non-Hispanic	9/25/2007	12/13/2007
ACDC	POPPY WOOD STREET	SOLDOTNA	\$ 20,000.00	\$ 20,000.00	AT	Two Parents	Owner	60-80%	0	0	0	1	Multi-Rac	Non-Hispanic	9/28/2007	12/13/2007
ACDC	S. ELIZABETH DRIVE	WASILLA	\$ 30,000.00	\$ 30,000.00	KL	Single Parent	Owner	0-30%	1	0	0	0	White	Non-Hispanic	10/3/2007	1/23/2008
ACDC	S. TIMBERVIEW DRIVE	WASILLA	\$ 29,190.00	\$ 27,500.00	TC	Single Parent	Owner	50-60%	0	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	10/3/2007	3/12/2008
ACDC	WALNUT STREET	KENAI	\$ 30,000.00	\$ 30,000.00	MS	Single Parent	Owner	0-30%	1	0	0	0	White	Non-Hispanic	10/12/2007	12/13/2007
ACDC	N. COTTONWOOD LOOP	WASILLA	\$ 18,600.00	\$ 18,600.00	MB	Two Parents	Owner	60-80%	0	0	0	1	White	Non-Hispanic	10/16/2007	12/28/2007
ACDC	N. BLACKIE LOOP	WILLOW	\$ 30,000.00	\$ 30,000.00	SR	Other	Owner	50-60%	0	0	1	0	Multi-Rac	Non-Hispanic	10/26/2007	1/3/2008
ACDC	W. EDINBOROUGH DRIVE	PALMER	\$ 30,000.00	\$ 30,000.00	WB	Elderly	Owner	30-50%	0	1	0	0	White	Non-Hispanic	11/1/2007	1/23/2008
ACDC	SOUTHERN BLUFF STREET	SOLDOTNA	\$ 20,000.00	\$ 20,000.00	SC	Single Parent	Owner	60-80%	0	0	0	1	White	Non-Hispanic	11/1/2007	3/12/2008
ACDC	N. LACY LOOP	WASILLA	\$ 15,690.00	\$ 15,690.00	LC	Single Parent	Owner	50-60%	0	0	1	0	Multi-Rac	Non-Hispanic	11/13/2007	1/29/2008
ACDC	LUPINE DRIVE	KENAI	\$ 28,980.00	\$ 28,980.00	RM	Two Parents	Owner	30-50%	0	1	0	0	White	Non-Hispanic	11/13/2007	1/3/2008
ACDC	S. BLACK KNIGHT DRIVE	WASILLA	\$ 29,240.00	\$ 29,240.00	SP	Single	Owner	30-50%	0	1	0	0	Multi-Rac	Non-Hispanic	11/14/2007	1/3/2008
ACDC	W. LAKESHORE DRIVE	HOUSTON	\$ 24,674.00	\$ 24,674.00	VA	Two Parents	Owner	50-60%	0	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	11/28/2007	3/26/2008
ACDC	LILAC LANE	KENAI	\$ 28,880.00	\$ 28,880.00	KG	Single	Owner	50-60%	0	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	11/15/2007	3/26/2008
ACDC	SILVER WEED STREET	SOLDOTNA	\$ 20,000.00	\$ 20,000.00	EP	Single Parent	Owner	50-60%	0	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	12/12/2007	3/26/2008
ACDC	E. BIG ROCK ROAD	WASILLA	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 5,000.00	RW	Two Parents	Owner	50-60%	0	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	1/3/2008	3/26/2008
ACDC	HERMOSA DRIVE	STERLING	\$ 29,830.00	\$ 29,830.00	NE	Single	Owner	30-50%	0	1	0	0	White	Non-Hispanic	1/7/2008	4/10/2008
ACDC	S. ROSEBERRY LOOP	WASILLA	\$ 30,000.00	\$ 28,300.00	MT	Single	Owner	0-30%	1	0	0	0	White	Non-Hispanic	1/28/2008	6/18/2008
ACDC	FIRST STREET	KENAI	\$ 30,000.00	\$ 30,000.00	MW	Two Parents	Owner	30-50%	0	1	0	0	White	Non-Hispanic	2/19/2008	4/10/2008
Alaska Community Development Corporation TOTAL						35			7	10	11	7				
CBS	SAWMILL CREEK ROAD	SITKA	\$ 13,000.00	\$ 13,000.00	DJ	Elderly	Owner	60-80%	0	0	0	1	White	Non-Hispanic	7/9/2007	12/13/2007
CBS	SAWMILL CREEK ROAD	SITKA	\$ 20,000.00	\$ 20,000.00	AB	Two Parents	Owner	60-80%	0	0	0	1	Multi-Rac	Non-Hispanic	7/9/2007	12/13/2007
CBS	HALIBUT POINT ROAD	SITKA	\$ 22,500.00	\$ 22,500.00	KM	Two Parents	Owner	60-80%	0	0	0	1	White	Non-Hispanic	8/29/2007	3/13/2008
CBS	HARVEST WAY	SITKA	\$ 30,000.00	\$ 30,000.00	LC	Single Parent	Owner	30-50%	0	1	0	0	Asian	Non-Hispanic	11/19/2007	3/19/2008
City of Sitka TOTAL						4			0	1	0	3				
FNH	GLACIER AVENUE	FAIRBANKS	\$ 10,575.00	\$ 10,450.00	JH	Single	Owner	60-80%	0	0	0	1	White	Non-Hispanic	4/5/2007	7/31/2007
FNH	EARTHFAIR COURT	FAIRBANKS	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 10,000.00	LA	Two Parents	Owner	60-80%	0	0	0	1	White	Non-Hispanic	6/13/2007	12/13/2007
FNH	MINNE STREET	FAIRBANKS	\$ 14,999.00	\$ 14,999.00	DC	Single Parent	Owner	50-60%	0	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	6/22/2007	12/18/2007
FNH	PALOMINO DRIVE	NORTH POLI	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 10,000.00	SB	Two Parents	Owner	60-80%	0	0	0	1	White	Non-Hispanic	6/25/2007	12/13/2007
FNH	NOYES STREET	FAIRBANKS	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 10,000.00	JG	Two Parents	Owner	60-80%	0	0	0	1	White	Non-Hispanic	6/26/2007	12/13/2007
FNH	LOON LANE	NORTH POLI	\$ 10,575.00	\$ 10,575.00	TC	Two Parents	Owner	60-80%	0	0	0	1	Other	Hispanic	7/17/2007	12/14/2007
FNH	SHOSHONE DRIVE	NORTH POLI	\$ 10,600.00	\$ 10,600.00	DG	Two Parents	Owner	50-60%	0	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	7/17/2007	12/13/2007
FNH	VASSER CIRCLE	FAIRBANKS	\$ 10,650.00	\$ 10,650.00	SR	Single Parent	Owner	60-80%	0	0	0	1	White	Non-Hispanic	7/18/2007	12/13/2007
FNH	NOBLE STREET	FAIRBANKS	\$ 14,999.00	\$ 14,999.00	NO	Two Parents	Owner	50-60%	0	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	7/31/2007	12/13/2007
FNH	BORDEAUX STREET	NORTH POLI	\$ 14,999.00	\$ 14,999.00	IL	Two Parents	Owner	50-60%	0	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/5/2007	12/13/2007
FNH	STACIA STREET	FAIRBANKS	\$ 14,999.00	\$ 14,999.00	GM	Single Parent	Owner	30-50%	0	1	0	0	Multi-Rac	Non-Hispanic	9/26/2007	1/29/2008
FNH	KUYKENDALL STREET	FAIRBANKS	\$ 14,999.00	\$ 14,999.00	FA	Two Parents	Owner	30-50%	0	1	0	0	Other	Hispanic	10/2/2007	12/13/2007
FNH	RICKERT STREET	FAIRBANKS	\$ 14,999.00	\$ 14,999.00	GC	Single	Owner	0-30%	1	0	0	0	White	Non-Hispanic	11/6/2007	1/29/2008
FNH	STILLWATER COURT	FAIRBANKS	\$ 10,600.00	\$ 10,600.00	KB	Single	Owner	60-80%	0	0	0	1	White	Non-Hispanic	12/4/2007	3/26/2008
FNH	ALASKA WAY	FAIRBANKS	\$ 10,600.00	\$ 10,600.00	MM	Single	Owner	60-80%	0	0	0	1	White	Non-Hispanic	12/5/2007	3/26/2008
FNH	DEREK	FAIRBANKS	\$ 14,999.00	\$ 14,999.00	KH	Single Parent	Owner	30-50%	0	1	0	0	White	Non-Hispanic	12/6/2007	3/26/2008
FNH	CARAT LOOP	NORTH POLI	\$ 14,999.00	\$ 14,999.00	CB	Two Parents	Owner	50-60%	0	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	12/14/2007	3/26/2008
FNH	FAREWELL AVENUE	FAIRBANKS	\$ 10,600.00	\$ 10,600.00	LA	Single Parent	Owner	60-80%	0	0	0	1	White	Non-Hispanic	12/7/2007	3/26/2008
FNH	KATHRYN AVE	FAIRBANKS	\$ 14,999.00	\$ 14,999.00	MD	Single	Owner	60-80%	0	0	0	1	White	Non-Hispanic	1/19/2008	3/26/2008
FNH	HEATHER DRIVE	FAIRBANKS	\$ 10,600.00	\$ 10,600.00	WC	Two Parents	Owner	60-80%	0	0	0	1	Multi-Rac	Non-Hispanic	2/12/2008	6/19/2008
Fairbanks Neighborhood Housing Services TOTAL						20			1	3	5	11				
H01	DOUGLAS HWY	JUNEAU	\$ 30,000.00	\$ 30,000.00	AL	Two Parents	Owner	50-60%	0	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	5/15/2007	8/22/2007
H01	LAWSON CREEK ROAD	DOUGLAS	\$ 16,800.00	\$ 16,800.00	BT	Single	Owner	60-80%	0	0	0	1	White	Non-Hispanic	11/16/2007	3/13/2008
H01	DEBORAH DRIVE	JUNEAU	\$ 30,000.00	\$ 30,000.00	KB	Two Parents	Owner	60-80%	0	0	0	1	White	Non-Hispanic	2/15/2008	8/19/2008
Housing First, Inc. TOTAL						3			0	0	1	2				

APPENDIX B-6

Owner Occupied Rehabilitation Program (ORP) Outcomes

SFY 2008 (July 1, 2007 – June 30, 2008)

DRP Outcomes - SFY 2008 Projects Started and Completed

Agency	Address	City	Total Estimated Costs	CRF's	Applicant	Household Type	Occup.	Income Group	0-30% MFI	34-50% MFI	50-60% MFI	Race	Ethnicity	Start	Complete
ACDC	PAYL COURT	PALMER	\$ 32,752.00	\$ 32,752.00	4H	Single Parent	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	28/2005	12/14/2007
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 31,640.00	\$ 31,640.00	4H	Single	Owner	0-30%	1	0	0	White	Non-Hispanic	12/1/2008	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 21,810.00	\$ 21,810.00	4H	Single	Owner	0-30%	1	0	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 20,000.00	\$ 20,000.00	PS	Eldest	Owner	35-50%	0	0	0	White	Non-Hispanic	10/7/2006	3/14/2008
ACDC	JOSH DR	PALMER	\$ 21,250.00	\$ 21,250.00	SE	Two Parents	Owner	0-30%	1	0	0	White	Non-Hispanic	10/7/2006	8/23/2007
ACDC	INLET BREEZE	KENAI	\$ 42,560.00	\$ 42,560.00	4H	Single Parent	Owner	0-30%	1	0	0	White	Non-Hispanic	10/26/2006	8/23/2007
ACDC	ZIMMOW HWY	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 24,444.00	\$ 24,444.00	4H	Single	Owner	0-30%	1	0	0	White	Non-Hispanic	10/24/2006	12/14/2007
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 17,830.00	\$ 17,830.00	4H	Single	Owner	0-30%	1	0	0	White	Non-Hispanic	10/24/2006	12/14/2007
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 17,830.00	\$ 17,830.00	4H	Single	Owner	0-30%	1	0	0	White	Non-Hispanic	10/24/2006	12/14/2007
ACDC	GRAYMAN	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 28,310.00	\$ 28,310.00	4H	Single	Owner	50-60%	0	0	0	White	Non-Hispanic	3/1/2008	n/a
ACDC	N. BLUEBERRY CIRCLE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 33,969.00	\$ 33,969.00	4H	Single	Owner	50-60%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	12/22/2006	11/1/2008
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	3/8/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 24,800.00	\$ 24,800.00	4H	Single Parent	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 24,800.00	\$ 24,800.00	4H	Single Parent	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	50-60%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	3/1/2008	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	50-60%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE	W. HENRIE DRIVE	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	4H	Two Parents	Owner	35-50%	0	1	0	White	Non-Hispanic	8/1/2007	n/a
ACDC	W. HENRIE DRIVE														

APPENDIX B-7

SFY2008 HOME Monitoring

SFY 2008 (July 1, 2007 – June 30, 2008)

PROJECT NAME	PROGRAM TYPE	LOCATION	HOME UNITS	TOTAL UNITS	MONITOR DATE	DESK/ TRAVEL
ALDERVIEW	LIHTC/HOME/SCHDF	WASILLA	5	57	9/18/2007	T
ATC LIHTC	LIHTC/HOME	MT. VILLAGE	5	10	7/10/2007	T
BACK TO THE COMMUNITY	HOME	BETHEL	4	4	7/11/2007	T
BAYVIEW LP	LIHTC/HOME/RD	SEWARD	2	18	7/12/2007	D
BIRCH HOUSE	HOME	FAIRBANKS	5	6	7/23/2007	D
CHICKALOON	HOME	WASILLA	1	1	9/18/2007	T
CHINOOK HOUSE	HOME	FAIRBANKS	4	4	11/1/2007	D
CORDOVA APARTMENTS	LIHTC/HOME/RD	CORDOVA	5	22	11/21/2007	D
EAGLE'S NEST	LIHTC/HOME	WASILLA	4	26	5/21/2008	T
EAGLEWOOD	LIHTC/HOME	JUNEAU	8	24	9/21/2007	D
EVERGREEN APARTMENTS	HOME	FAIRBANKS	3	8	7/6/2007	D
FORREST HILLS-PHASE II	LIHTC/HOME	WASILLA	3	22	3/13/2008	T
FRIENDSHIP TERRACE	HOME/SCHDF	HOMER	8	48	4/23/2008	T
GRUENING PARK PHASE I	HOME	JUNEAU	91	96	9/11/2007	T
FORREST HILLS-PHASE I	LIHTC/HOME	WASILLA	4	24	3/14/2008	D
MLH MANOR	LIHTC/HOME	FAIRBANKS	8	34	7/23/2007	T
MOORING ESTATES	HOME	SOLDOTNA	4	4	3/31/2008	D
MT. VIEW MANOR ASST. LIV.	HOME/SCHDF	PETERSBURG	4	20	9/13/2007	T
MUKLUNG MANOR	LIHTC/HOME/RD	DILLINGHAM	4	16	4/28/2008	T
RAVEN ESTATES	LIHTC/HOME	FAIRBANKS	10	14	7/23/2007	T
RAVEN TREE COURT	LIHTC/HOME	WASILLA	6	26	3/3/2008	T
RENDEZVOUS	HOME	KETCHIKAN	7	8	6/15/2008	D
SOUTHWEST ELDERLY	LIHTC/HOME/RD	NAKNEK	4	10	4/28/2008	T
STEBBINS ELDER HOUSING	HOME	STEBBINS	5	5	2/15/2008	T
STERLING COURT	HOME	KENAI KING	1	1	10/5/2007	D
TAIGA VIEW APARTMENTS	LIHTC/HOME	SALMON	5	16	5/9/2008	T
TERRACE VIEW	HOME	HOMER	4	4	10/8/2007	D
WOMEN AND CHILDREN	HOME	FAIRBANKS	12	12	6/18/2008	T

APPENDIX B-8

Outcome Performance Measurement Tables

SFY 2008 (July 1, 2007 – June 30, 2008)

Table 2A - Priority Housing Needs/Investment Plan Table – HOME / CDBG

Priority Need	5-Yr. Goal Plan/Act	Yr. 1 Goal Plan/Act	Yr. 2 Goal Plan/Act	Yr. 3 Goal Plan/Act	Yr. 4 Goal Plan/Act	Yr. 5 Goal Plan/Act
Renters						
0 - 30 of MFI	207- HOME	41/46	41/28	41/	42	42
31 - 50% of MFI	195- HOME	39/	39/39	39/14	39	39
51 - 80% of MFI	199- HOME	40	40	40/	40	40
Owners						
0 - 30 of MFI	56-CDBG 80-HOME	0 16/16- HOME	17-act. 16/32 – HOME	13 16/18 – HOME*	13	13
31 - 50 of MFI	5-CDBG 90-HOME	0 18/18- HOME	2-act. 18/32 – HOME	1 18/22 HOME*	1	1
51 - 80% of MFI	4-CDBG 85-HOME	0 17/17- HOME	1-act. 17/32 – HOME	1 17/50 HOME*	1	1
Homeless*						
Individuals						
Families						
Non-Homeless Special Needs	60	12/13	12/11			
Elderly						
Frail Elderly						
Severe Mental Illness						
Physical Disability						
Developmental Disability						
Alcohol or Drug Abuse						
HIV/AIDS						
Victims of Domestic Violence						
Total (Sec. 215 and other)	1553	297				
Total Sec. 215	572	114				
215 Renter	402 – HOME	80				
215 Owner	170 - HOME	34				

*Represents aggregate data from completed HOME HOP and ORP households

**Priority Housing Activities/Investment Plan Table
(Table 2A)**

Priority Need	5-Yr. Goal <i>Plan/Act</i>	Yr. 1 Goal Plan/Act	Yr. 2 Goal Plan/Act	Yr. 3 Goal Plan/Act	Yr. 4 Goal Plan/Act	Yr. 5 Goal <i>Plan/Act</i>
CDBG						
Acquisition of existing rental units						
Production of new rental units						
Rehabilitation of existing rental units						
Rental assistance						
Acquisition of existing owner units						
Production of new owner units						
Rehabilitation of existing owner units	65	0	20-act	15/18	15	15
Homeownership assistance						
HOME						
Acquisition of existing rental units						
Production of new rental units	175	35/46	35/38	35/14* **	35	35
Rehabilitation of existing rental units						
Rental assistance						
Acquisition of existing owner units						
Production of new owner units						
Rehabilitation of existing owner units	150	30/30	30/42	30/28* **	30	30
Homeownership assistance	100	20/21	20/34	20/62	20	20
HOPWA						
Rental assistance	(*)	10/14	10/16	10/16	10	10
Short term rent/mortgage utility payments	(*)	20/20	20/20	20/20	20	20
Facility based housing development	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Facility based housing operations	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Supportive services	(*)	45/81	40/72	40	40	50
Other						

**Data includes completed rehabilitation units only

***Home Assisted Units for open and executed projects during the FY

**Annual Housing Completion Goals
(Table 3B)**

Grantee Name: Program Year:	Expected Annual Number of Units To Be Completed	Actual Annual Number of Units Completed	Resources used during the period			
			CDBG	HOME	ESG	HOPWA
BENEFICIARY GOALS (Sec. 215 Only)						
Homeless households			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Non-homeless households			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Special needs households			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Total Sec. 215 Beneficiaries*			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
RENTAL GOALS (Sec. 215 Only)						
Acquisition of existing units			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Production of new units	35	14***	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Rehabilitation of existing units			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Rental Assistance			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Total Sec. 215 Affordable Rental			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
HOME OWNER GOALS (Sec. 215 Only)						
Acquisition of existing units			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Production of new units			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Rehabilitation of existing units	50	28**	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Homebuyer Assistance	20	62	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Total Sec. 215 Affordable Owner	70		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
COMBINED RENTAL AND OWNER GOALS (Sec. 215 Only)						
Acquisition of existing units			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Production of new units	35	39	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Rehabilitation of existing units	50	62	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Rental Assistance			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Homebuyer Assistance	20	62	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Combined Total Sec. 215 Goals*	105		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
OVERALL HOUSING GOALS (Sec. 215 + Other Affordable Housing)						
Annual Rental Housing Goal	35	39	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Annual Owner Housing Goal	70	127	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Total Overall Housing Goal	105		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

* The total amounts for "Combined Total Sec. 215 Goals" and "Total Sec. 215 Beneficiary Goals" should be the same number.

**Data includes completed rehabilitation units only

***Home Assisted Units for open and executed projects during the FY

Table 2B - Priority Community Development Activities - CDBG

Priority Need	5-Yr. Goal <i>Plan/Act</i>	Yr. 1 Goal Plan/Act	Yr. 2 Goal Plan/Act	Yr. 3 Goal Plan/Act	Yr. 4 Goal Plan/Act	Yr. 5 Goal <i>Plan/Act</i>
Acquisition of Real Property	1	0	0	1	0	0
Disposition						
Clearance and Demolition						
Clearance of Contaminated Sites						
Code Enforcement						
Public Facility (General)	17	8	2	0	2	5
Senior Centers	1	0	1	0	0	0
Handicapped Centers						
Homeless Facilities	6	3	2	0	1	0
Youth Centers						
Neighborhood Facilities						
Child Care Centers						
Health Facilities	20	9	7	1	1	2
Mental Health Facilities						
Parks and/or Recreation Facilities						
Parking Facilities						
Tree Planting						
Fire Stations/Equipment	2	1	0	1	0	0
Abused/Neglected Children Facilities						
Asbestos Removal						
Non-Residential Historic Preservation						
Other Public Facility Needs	6	0	0	2	2	2
Infrastructure (General)	1	0	0	0	1	0
Water/Sewer Improvements	2	2	0	0	0	0
Street Improvements	1	0	0	0	1	0
Sidewalks	2	0	0	0	2	0
Solid Waste Disposal Improvements	1	0	0	1	0	0
Flood Drainage Improvements						
Other Infrastructure	5	0	0	2	3	0
Public Services (General)						
Senior Services						
Handicapped Services						
Legal Services						
Youth Services						
Child Care Services						
Transportation Services						
Substance Abuse Services						
Employment/Training Services						
Health Services						
Lead Hazard Screening						
Crime Awareness						
Fair Housing Activities						
Tenant Landlord Counseling						
Other Services						
Economic Development (General)						
C/I Land Acquisition/Disposition						
C/I Infrastructure Development						
C/I Building Acq/Const/Rehab	1	1	0	0	0	0
Other C/I						
ED Assistance to For-Profit						
ED Technical Assistance						
Micro-enterprise Assistance						
Other						

Table 1C,2C,3A - OUTCOME PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENTS – CDBG/HOME

Availability/Accessibility of Decent Housing (DH-1)							
Specific Objective		Source of Funds	Year	Performance Indicators	Expected Number	Actual Number	Percent Achieved
DH 1.1	Create a suitable living environment by providing funding for owner occupied housing rehab services which addresses emergency needs and health and safety measures for LMI households	CDBG	2005	# of LMI households receiving funding	0	0	%
			2006		20	20	%
			2007		15		%
			2008		15		%
			2009		15		%
		MULTI-YEAR GOAL		65	20	31 %	
Affordability of Decent Housing (DH-2)							
DH 2.1	Provide decent housing with improved affordability	HOME	2005	# of units constructed	35	46	%
			2006		35	38	%
			2007		35	14	%
			2008		35		%
			2009		35		%
		MULTI-YEAR GOAL		175	98	56%	
Sustainability of Decent Housing (DH-3)							
DH 3.1	Provide decent housing with improved sustainability	HOME	2005	# of home rehabilitated or upgraded or with corrected deficiencies	30	30	%
			2006		30	42	%
			2007		30	28**	%
			2008		30		%
			2009		30		%
		MULTI-YEAR GOAL		150	100	66 %	
Availability/Accessibility of Suitable Living Environment (SL-1)							
SL 1.1	Create a suitable living environment through new construction or renovation of pubic facilities to benefit LMI persons	CDBG ESG	2005	# of persons with access to public facility or infrastructure benefit	19,365	19,365	%
			2006		3,486	3,486	%
			2007		6,152		%
			2008		7,092		%
			2009		4,000		%
		MULTI-YEAR GOAL		40,095	22,851	57 %	
Affordability of Suitable Living Environment (SL-2)							
SL 2.1	Create/make possible decent housing / a suitable living environment through improved affordability	HOME	2005	# of homeowners assisted	20	21	%
			2006		20	34	%
			2007		20	62	%
			2008		20		%
			2009		20		%
		MULTI-YEAR GOAL		100	117	117 %	

**Data includes completed rehabilitation units only

OUTCOME PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENTS – ESG
Table 1C - Summary of Specific Homeless/Special Needs Objectives

#	Specific Objectives	Sources of Funds	Performance Indicators	Expected Number	Actual Number	Outcome/Objective*
	Homeless Objectives					
1.1	Suitable living environment with improved accessibility	ESG	#of people served	4,120	4,120	SL-1
	Special Needs Objectives					
	Other Objectives					

***Outcome/Objective Codes**

	<u>Availability/Accessibility</u>	<u>Affordability</u>	<u>Sustainability</u>
Decent Housing	DH-1	DH-2	DH-3
Suitable Living Environment	SL-1	SL-2	SL-3
Economic Opportunity	EO-1	EO-2	EO-3

OUTCOME PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENTS – CDBG/HOME

Table 2C

Summary of Specific Housing/Community Development Objectives

#	Specific Objectives	Sources of Funds	Performance Indicators	Expected Number	Actual Number	Outcome/Objective*
	Rental Housing					
	Owner Housing					
DH-1	Create a suitable living environment by providing funding for owner occupied housing rehab services which addresses emergency needs and health and safety issues for LMI households.	CDBG	# of LMI households receiving funding	20	20	DH-1
	Community Development					
SL-1	Create a suitable living environment through new construction or renovation of public facility to benefit LMI persons	CDBG	# of persons with access to public facility or infrastructure benefit	3,486	3,486	SL-1
	Infrastructure					
	Public Facilities					
	Public Services					
	Economic Development					
	Neighborhood Revitalization/Other					

***Outcome/Objective Codes**

	<u>Availability/Accessibility</u>	<u>Affordability</u>	<u>Sustainability</u>
Decent Housing	DH-1	DH-2	DH-3
Suitable Living Environment	SL-1	SL-2	SL-3
Economic Opportunity	EO-1	EO-2	EO-3